# **CMS Online Event Selection**

Pedrame Bargassa Rice University, Houston



### EPS Detectors & Data Handling 21<sup>st</sup> July 2007



- Introduction / Challenges
- Level 1 Trigger (L1) :
  - Introduction to algorithms
- High Level Trigger (HLT) :
  - > Introduction to algorithms
- L1 & HLT performance study
  - Rates
  - HLT timing
- Conclusion / Perspectives



### Introduction / Challenges



### QCD :

- σ : Orders of magnitude greater than any "interesting" channel
- Contaminating lepton triggers :
  - Jet fluctuation -> electron background
  - π, K, B decays -> muon background
- Some signal (trilepton) have soft leptons, in the (very) high QCD kinematic region

### Road to go :

≻

- Early rejection of huge QCD in DAQ chain & Dealing with 40 MHz : Level 1 Trigger
- Dealing with 50 kHz & selection physics : High Level Trigger
  - L1 & HLT : Selecting
    - Isolated leptons, photons
    - >  $\tau$ -, central-, forward-jets
    - > (High)  $E_{T}$ ,  $E_{T}^{miss}$





### Reduce overwhelming background : 40 MHz -> 50 kHz Fast processing

- Customized hardware processors
- Algorithms : Implemented in reprogrammable FPGAs
- Information from Calorimeter & Muon detectors :
  - Muon triggers
  - > Electron & Photon triggers
  - > Jet & missing  $E_{T}$  triggers
- > Synchronous & pipelined :
  - Bunch x : 25 ns
  - > Decision/propagation time  $\sim 3 \ \mu s$





# *L1 algoríthm example : Electrons & Photons*





### High Level Trigger : Global picture



### Bring 50 kHz -> 150 Hz Select as efficiently as possible " $\sigma$ ~0.1 pb physics"

### **Ability : Build full events @ full L1 output rate**

- **Code :** As close as possible to offline reconstruction
- Seeded by L1
- Runs on (large) **CPU farms**
- Trigger "Levels" :
  - 2 : Use of calorimeter and muon detectors
  - > 2.5 : Use of pixel tracker detector
  - > 3 : Use of tracker
  - **Regionality** :
    - Reconstruction in a small  $(\eta, \phi)$  region where there are previous levels seeds (L1, L2, L2.5)
    - > Data unpacking : Also regional



# HLT: Object algorithms

**Jets** : Reconstruction with iterative cone algorithm  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ : Reconstruction with vector sum of towers >  $\mathbf{E}_{\text{threshold}}$ 

- **Muons**: Iterative refinement of  $p_{T}$ ≻
  - L2: Reconstruction in Muon system / Calo. Isolation ≻
  - **L3**: Reconstruction in {Muon+Tracker} system / Pixel Isolation ۶
- **L2**: ECAL/HCAL reconstruction/isolation : clustering (bremsstrahlung  $e/\gamma$ : recovery),  $E_T > E_{threshold}$ 
  - **L2.5** : Pixel matching (electron) ۶
  - L3 :  $\geq$ 
    - Photon : Track-isolation
    - Electron : Track reconstruction / Track isolation
- **L2**: Calo. Reconstruction + Isolation τ:
  - L3 : ≻
    - Hard track ( $p_{\tau}^{\text{max}} > 40 \text{ GeV/c}$ ) with  $\Delta R < 0.1$  of a jet axis ۶
    - Track Isolation : No  $p_{_{T}} > 1$  GeV/c track within ۶  $0.03 < \Delta R < 0.4$  the hard track



# Studies motivated by the need of purchasing the Filter Farm at the end of 2007

 $1^{\mbox{\scriptsize st}}$  timing measure on a representative set of (simulated) samples, with a full physics trigger-menu

- Get a L1 bandwidth as realistic as possible
  - > Use full L1-emulator (emulation of the harware at the bit-level)
  - > Ensure that all L1 bandwidth is used by HLT
- > Use software framework to be used for data-taking
  - Include data-unpacking times for the 1<sup>st</sup> time
- Fit L1/HLT triggers in 17 kHz/150 Hz
  - > Balance the trigger menus

LHCC note : LHCC-CERN 2007-021 , LHCC-G-134



# $L1: Triggers \& Rates for L = 10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ (2008 physics-run)

L1 Trigger	Threshold (GeV)	Prescale	Rate (kHz)
A_SingleMu3	3	1000	$0.01\pm0.00$
A_SingleMu5	5	1000	$0.00\pm0.00$
A_SingleMu7	7	1	$1.11\pm0.04$
A_SingleMu10	10	1	$0.47\pm0.03$
A_SingleMu14	14	1	$0.18\pm0.02$
A_SingleMu20	20	1	$0.09\pm0.01$
A_SingleMu25	25	1	$0.06\pm0.01$
A_SingleIsoEG5	5	10000	$0.00\pm0.00$
A_SingleIsoEG8	8	1000	$0.01\pm0.00$
A_SingleIsoEG10	10	100	$0.04\pm0.01$
A_SingleIsoEG12	12	1	$2.47\pm0.06$
A_SingleIsoEG15	15	1	$1.10\pm0.04$
A_SingleIsoEG20	20	1	$0.32\pm0.02$
A_SingleIsoEG25	25	1	$0.14\pm0.01$
A_SingleEG5	5	10000	$0.00\pm0.00$
A_SingleEG8	8	1000	$0.01\pm0.00$
A_SingleEG10	10	100	$0.04\pm0.01$
A_SingleEG12	12	100	$0.03\pm0.01$
A_SingleEG15	15	1	$1.51\pm0.05$
A_SingleEG20	20	1	$0.52\pm0.03$
A_SingleEG25	25	1	$0.25\pm0.02$



## $L1: Triggers \& Rates for L = 10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ (2008 physics-run)

L1 Trigger	Threshold (GeV)	Prescale	Rate (kHz)		
A_SingleMu3	A_Singl	_eJet70	70	100	$0.02\pm0.01$
A SingleMu5	(A_Singl	eJet100	) 100	1	$0.43\pm0.02$
A CingleMu7	A_Singl	eJet150	150	1	$0.07\pm0.01$
A_SINGIEMU/	A_Singl	eJet200	200	1	$0.02\pm0.01$
A_SingleMu10	A_Single	TauJet40	40	1000	$0.02\pm0.01$
A_SingleMu14	A_Single	TauJet80	80	1	$0.68\pm0.03$
N. SipaloMu20	A_Single1	auJet100	100	1	$0.20\pm0.02$
	A_HT	T250	250	1	$2.56\pm0.06$
A_SingleMu25	A_HT	T300	300	1	$0.65\pm0.03$
A_SingleIsoEG5	A_HT	Τ400	400	1	$0.08\pm0.01$
A SingleIsoFG8	A_HT	Т500	500	1	$0.02\pm0.00$
	A_E1	TM2 0	20	10000	$0.00\pm0.00$
A_SingleIsoEGIU	A_E1	CM3 0	30	1	$5.69\pm0.09$
A_SingleIsoEG12	A_E1	CM40	40	1	$0.40\pm0.02$
A SingleIsoEG15	A_E1	CM50	50	1	$0.05\pm0.01$
<u>λ</u> αί].τπαρο	A_E1	CM60	60	1	$0.01\pm0.00$
A_SingleisoEGZV	A Douk	)leMu3	) 3	1	$0.28\pm0.02$
A_SingleIsoEG25	A_Doubl	eIsoEG8	) 8	1	$0.28\pm0.02$
A_SingleEG5	A_Double	elsoEG10	10	1	$0.08\pm0.01$
A SingloFC8	A_Douk	)leEG5	E	10000	$0.00\pm0.00$
	A_Doub	leEG10	) 10	1	$0.19\pm0.02$
A_SingleEG10	A_Doub	leEG15	15	1	$0.05\pm0.01$
A_SingleEG12	A_Doubl	_eJet70	70	1	$0.58\pm0.03$
A_SingleEG15	A_Doubl	eJet100	100	1	$0.11\pm0.01$
A SingleEG20	A_Double	TauJet20	20	1000	$0.02 \pm 0.01$
	A_Double	TauJet30		100	$0.08 \pm 0.01$
A_SingleEGZ5	(A_Double	TauJet40	) 40	1	$2.36\pm0.06$

Pedrame Bargassa, Rice University, Houston



### $L1: Triggers \& Rates for L = 10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1} (2008 physics-run)$

L1 Trigger	Threshold (GeV)	Prescale	Rate (kHz)				
A_SingleMu3	A_Sing]	LeJet70	A_Mu	3_IsoEG5	3,5	1	$0.95\pm0.04$
N. CingloMuE	(A_Singl	eJet100	A_Mu5	_IsoEG10	5,10	1	$0.04\pm0.01$
A-SINGIEMOS	A_Sing]	eJet150	- A_M	u3_EG12	3,12	1	$0.09\pm0.01$
A_SingleMu7	A Singl	a.Tat 200	- A_Mu	.3_Jet15	3,15	20	$0.30 \pm 0.02$
N.C.ingloMu10				15_Jet15	5,15	1	$1.62 \pm 0.05$
A_SINGIEMUIV	A_SINGIE	TauJet40	A_Mu	13_Jet70	3,70	1	$0.10 \pm 0.01$
A_SingleMu14	A_Single	TauJet80	A_Mu	15_Jet20	5,20		$1.18 \pm 0.04$
	A_Single]	CauJet100	CA_Mu5.	TauJet20	5,20	1	$0.66 \pm 0.03$
A_SingleMu20	A_HT	Т250	A_Mu5	TauJet30	5,30	1	$0.38 \pm 0.02$
A SingleMu25	ע עד	T300	_ A_ISOE	G10_Jet15	10,15	20	$0.15 \pm 0.01$
		IJVV m400	_ A_Isob	G10_Jet30	10,30	1	$1.95 \pm 0.05$
A_SingleIsoEG5	A_HT	1400	A_lsob	G10_Jet20	10,20	1	$3.04 \pm 0.06$
A SinglaTeaPC9	A_HT	T500	A_Isob	G10_Jet70	10,70	1	$0.26 \pm 0.02$
M-DINGIELPORGO	A_E]	TM2 0	A_ISOEG	10_TauJet20	10,20	1	$1.95 \pm 0.05$
A_SingleIsoEG10	A ET	M30	- Alsoeg	10_TauJet30	10,30	1	$1.33 \pm 0.04$
CinclateoPC10	7.07	TMA O	_ A_TauJ	et30_ETM30	30,30	1	$1.96 \pm 0.05$
ALSINGIEISOEGIZ	A_E ]	11140	A_TauJ	et30_ETM40	30,40	1	$0.26 \pm 0.02$
A_SingleIsoEG15	A_E'J	.M50	A_Tr	ipleMu3	3	1	$0.01 \pm 0.00$
	A_E7	CM60	A_Tri	pleJet50	50	1	$0.22 \pm 0.02$
A_SinglelsoEG20	A Douk	0]eM113	= A_Qu	adJet30	30	1	$0.58 \pm 0.03$
A SingleIsoFC25	A Doubl			las_HTT10	10	large	0.40
11.0 1119101000020	ALDOUDI	elsorgo	A_Ze	eroBias	0	large	0.40
A_SingleEG5	A_Double	elsoEG10		Total L1 Trigge	r Rate (kHz)		$16.67 \pm 0.15$
A SingloFC8	A_Douk	pleEG5					
VPATIIÀ TERRO	A_Doub	leEG10	-	<b>.</b> .			•

- **Muon :** 1.5 kHz
- **e**γ : 2.5 kHz
- > **Jets :** 3.5 kHz
- τ:3 kHz
- > **MET :** 5.5 kHz
- Cross-channel : 8 kHz

#### Safety factor 3 for L1 bandwidth :

- Uncertainty on QCD cross-sections (Tevatron : factor=2)
- Not simulated conditions : beam, noise spikes, electronics...
- **Lepton thresholds low :** Study efficiencies @ low p<sub>T</sub>
- Jet thresholds : Covers range -> Tevatron
- **L1 MET :** Combined with jets @ HLT
- Cross-channel triggers present @ L1

Pedrame Bargassa, Rice University, Houston

A\_DoubleEG15 A\_DoubleJet70

A\_DoubleJet100

A\_DoubleTauJet20

A\_DoubleTauJet30

A\_DoubleTauJet40

A\_SingleEG10

A\_SingleEG12

A\_SingleEG15

A\_SingleEG20

A\_SingleEG25



## HLT: Triggers & Rates for $\mathcal{L} = 10^{32} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (2008 physics-run)

HLT path	L1 condition	Thresholds (GeV)	HLT Rate (Hz)	Total Rate (Hz)
Single Isolated $\mu$	A_SingleMu7	11	$18.3\pm2.2$	18.3
Single Relaxed $\mu$	A_SingleMu7	16	$22.7\pm1.5$	37.7
Double Relaxed $\mu$	A_DoubleMu3	(3, 3)	$12.3\pm1.6$	48.5
$J/\psi  ightarrow \mu\mu$	A_DoubleMu3	$(3, 3) \ M_{\mu\mu} \in [2.9, 3.3]$	$2.0\pm0.8$	49,4
$\Upsilon \to \mu \mu$	A_DoubleMu3	$(3, 3) \ M_{\mu\mu} \in [8, 12]$	$1.8\pm0.5$	50.5
$Z  ightarrow \mu \mu$	A_DoubleMu3	(7, 7) $M_{\mu\mu} \in [80, 100]$	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	50.5
Triple Relaxed $\mu$	A_TripleMu3	(3, 3, 3)	$0.1\pm0.0$	50.5
Same-sign double $\mu$	A_DoubleMu3	(3, 3)	$5.7\pm1.2$	52.5
$b \rightarrow \mu$ tag 1-jet Prescale 20	A_Mu5_Jet15	$\frac{20}{\Delta R(\mu, j) < 0.4}$	$4.0\pm0.1$	56.1
$b \rightarrow \mu$ tag 2-jets	A_Mu5_Jet15	$120, p_T^{\text{rel}}(\mu) > 0.7$ $\Delta R(\mu, j) < 0.4$	$0.5\pm0.0$	56.1
$b \rightarrow \mu$ tag 3-jets	A_Mu5_Jet15	70, $p_T^{\text{rel}}(\mu) > 0.7$ $\Delta R(\mu, j) < 0.4$	$0.3\pm0.0$	56.1
$b \rightarrow \mu$ tag 4-jets	A_Mu5_Jet15	40, $p_T^{rel}(\mu) > 0.7$ $\Delta R(\mu, j) < 0.4$	$0.4\pm0.0$	56.1
$b  ightarrow \mu  ext{tag} H_T$	A_HTT250	$\begin{array}{c} 300,  p_T^{\rm rel}(\mu) > 0.7 \\ \Delta R(\mu, j) < 0.4 \end{array}$	$2.6\pm0.2$	56.6
$b  ightarrow J/\psi(\mu\mu)$	A_DoubleMu3	(4, 4) $M_{\mu\nu} \in [2.95, 3.25]$	$0.7\pm0.1$	56.8
$\mu$ + <i>b</i> -jet	A_Mu5_Jet15	(7, 35)	$0.1\pm0.0$	56.8
$\mu + b \rightarrow \mu$ -jet	A_Mu5_Jet15	(7, 20)	$0.1\pm0.1$	56.8
$\mu$ + jet	A_Mu5_Jet15	(7, 40)	$6.3 \pm 0.7$	60.8
e+µ	*	(8, 7)	$0.5\pm0.4$	61.2
$e + \mu$ relaxed	*	(10, 10)	$0.1\pm0.0$	61.3
$\mu + \tau$	A_Mu5_TauJet20	(15, 20)	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	61.3
Single-Jet	A_SingleJet150	200	$9.3\pm0.1$	70.1
Double-Jet	A_SingleJet150 A_DoubleJet70	150	$10.6\pm0.0$	74.4
Triple-Jet	t	85	$7.5\pm0.1$	78.8
Quad-Jet	ţ	60	$3.9\pm0.1$	80.5
$E_T$	A_ETM40	65	$4.9\pm0.7$	84.0
Acopl. Double-Jet	A_SingleJet150 A_DoubleJet70	125	$1.4\pm0.0$	84.0
Acopl. Single-Jet + $E_T$	A_ETM30	(100, 60)	$1.6\pm0.0$	84.2
Single-Jet + $E_T$	A_ETM30	(180, 60)	$2.2 \pm 0.1$	84.4
Double-Jet + $E_T$	A_ETM30	(125, 60)	$1.0\pm0.0$	84.4
Triple-Jet + $E_T$	A_ETM30	(60, 60)	$0.6\pm0.0$	84.4
Quad-Jet + $E_T$	A_ETM30	(35, 60)	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	84.6
$H_T + E_T$	A_HTT300	(350, 65)	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	86.2
Single Jet Prescale 10	A_SingleJet100	150	$3.5\pm0.0$	87.9
Single Jet Prescale 100	A_SingleJet70	110	$1.5\pm0.0$	89.1
Single Jet Prescale 1000	A_SingleJet30	60	$0.8\pm0.4$	89.9



## HLT: Triggers & Rates for $L = 10^{32}$ cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (2008 physics-run)

HLT path	L1 condition	Thresholds (GeV)	HLT Rate (Hz)	Total Rate (Hz)		
Single Isolated $\mu$	A_SingleMu7	11	$18.3 \pm 2.2$	18.3	1	
Single Relaxed µ	A_SingleMu7	16	$22.7 \pm 1.5$	37.7		
Double Relaxed $\mu$	A_DoubleMu3	(3, 3)	$12.3\pm1.6$	48.5		
$J/\psi  ightarrow \mu\mu$	HLT path	L1 condition	L1 condition Thr		HLT Rate (Hz)	Total Rate (Hz)
$\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu \mu$	VBF Double-Jet + $\!$	A_ETM30	(	40, 60)	$0.2\pm0.0$	89.0
1.1.1	SUSY 2-jet+ $E_T$	A_ETM30	(8	0,20,60)	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	90.4
$Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$	Acopl. Double-Jet + $E_T$	A_ETM30	(	60, 60)	$1.0\pm0.0$	90.4
	Single Isolated $e$	A_SingleIsoE	G12	15	$17.1 \pm 2.3$	107.5
Triple Relaxed $\mu$	Single Relaxed $e$	A_SingleEG	15	17	$9.6 \pm 1.3$	109.3
Same-sign double $\mu$	Double Isolated e A_DoubleIsoEG8		G8	10	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	109.4
$b \rightarrow \mu$ tag 1-jet	Double Relaxed e	A_DoubleEG:	10	12	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	109.9
Prescale 20	Single Isolated $\gamma$	A_SingleIsoE	G12	30	$8.4 \pm 0.7$	118.1
h - u tag 2-jets	Single Relaxed $\gamma$	A_SingleEG:	15	40	$2.8 \pm 0.2$	118.5
$b \rightarrow \mu \log 2 - \beta \ln b$	Double Isolated $\gamma$	A_DoubleIso	CG8 (	(20,20)	$0.6 \pm 0.4$	119.0
E	Double Relaxed $\gamma$	A_DoubleEG:	10 (	(20,20)	$1.8 \pm 0.5$	120.1
$b \rightarrow \mu \text{ tag } \beta \text{-jets}$	High $E_T e$	A_SingleEG	15	80	$0.5 \pm 0.0$	120.4
	High $E_T e$	A_SingleEG:	15	200	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	120.4
$b \rightarrow \mu$ tag 4-jets	Lifetime b-tag 1-jet	0		180	$1.3 \pm 0.0$	120.5
	Lifetime b-tag 2-jets	0		120	$2.1 \pm 0.0$	121.2
$b \rightarrow \mu \operatorname{tag} H_T$	Lifetime b-tag 3-jets	0		70	$1.7 \pm 0.0$	121.8
	Lifetime o-tag 4-jets	0		40	$1.8 \pm 0.0$	122.6
$b \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu\mu)$	Single -	Q A CinalaTau T	+ 0.0	4/0	$2.3 \pm 0.1$	123.1
	Single 7	A_SINGLEIAUU	100	15	$18 \pm 0.2$	123.2
$\mu + b$ -jet	$\tau + \mu T$ Double $\tau$ (Cale ( Piyel)	A DoubleTour	+ 40	15	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	124.7
$\mu + b \rightarrow \mu$ -jet	e + biet	A IsoEC10 Jo	+20 (	10 35)	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	129.4
$\mu$ + jet	e + jet	A IsoEG10 Je	+30 (	12 40)	$116 \pm 12$	135.8
$e + \mu$	e+T	A IsoEG10 Tau	[et 20] (	12, 20)	$0.2 \pm 0.0$	135.8
$e + \mu$ relaxed	Prescaled $e/\gamma$	Se	e Table 3.9	,,	$5.0 \pm 0.0$	140.8
$\mu + \tau$	Prescaled µ	Se	e Table 2.4		$3.0 \pm 0.0$	143.8
Single-Jet	Min.Bias	A_MinBias_HT	T10	-	$1.5 \pm 0.0$	145.3
Double Ist	Pixel Min.Bias	A_ZeroBias	5	9 <u>—</u> 9	$1.5 \pm 0.0$	146.8
Double-jet	Zero Bias	A_ZeroBias	5	81 <u></u> 8	$1.0 \pm 0.0$	147.8
Triple-Jet		Total HLT r	ate (Hz)			$148 \pm 4.9$
Ouad-Iet	İ	60	$3.9 \pm 0.1$	80.5		1
$E_T$	A_ETM40	65	$4.9 \pm 0.7$	84.0		_
Acopl. Double-Jet	A_SingleJet150 A_DoubleJet70	125	$1.4\pm0.0$	84.0		epto
Acopl. Single-Jet + $E_T$	A_ETM30	(100, 60)	$1.6 \pm 0.0$	84.2	1 P	nysics
Single-Jet + $E_T$	A_ETM30	(180, 60)	$2.2 \pm 0.1$	84.4	1 -	
Double-let + $E_T$	A_ETM30	(125, 60)	$1.0 \pm 0.0$	84.4		/omiot
Triple-let + $E_T$	A ETM30	(60, 60)	$0.6 \pm 0.0$	84.4	†´ ▼	anet
$Ouad-let + E_T$	A ETM30	(35, 60)	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	84.6	1.	Т
Hr + Hr	A HTT300	(350,65)	$44 \pm 0.1$	86.2		111
Single let Prescale 10	A SipgloJot100	150	$35 \pm 0.0$	87.0	-	
Single let Proceede 100	A Single Tet 70	110	15+00	80.1	I> P	reers
Single let Prescale 100	A Singledet 70	60	1.5 ± 0.0	80.0	4 <b>-</b>	10300
ongle jet riescale 1000	W prudrener 20	00	0.0 ± 0.4	09.9	1 ÷-	

- **Muon :** 50 Hz >
- **e**γ : 30 Hz

۶

- **Jets/MET/H**<sub>T</sub> : 30 Hz
  - **τ**: 7 Hz
- **b-jets :** 10 Hz
- Cross-channel: 20 Hz
- **Prescaled :** 15 Hz  $\geq$

#### **Safety factor 2 for HLT bandwidth :**

- Uncertainty on heavy-flavor ≻ cross-sections
- Uncertainties in simulation  $\geq$

**pton triggers :** Gateway for many ysics channels

**riety of physics covered :** J/ψ -> μμ

Trileptons -> t-tbar ->  $\mu$ +jets

**escaled triggers :** accompany physics triggers



# HLT : Efficiencies for benchmark channels

#### Muon HLT efficiency for benchmark channels

Signal	HLT Single Relaxed	HLT Double	HLT Single Isolated	(Level-1)*HLT
377.0	muon eff.(%)	muon eff.(%)	muon eff.(%)	acceptance (%)
$Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$	98.6	91.2	95.8	98.1
$W \to \mu \nu$	86.9	17	81.4	76.7

#### Electron HLT efficiency for benchmark channels

Signal process	Isolated single electron	Relaxed single electron	Isolated double electron	Relaxed double electron
HLT: $Z \rightarrow ee$	83.3	85.2	63.8	64.4
HLT: $W \rightarrow e\nu$	62.5	61.2	π.	0.70
L1*HLT: $Z \rightarrow ee$	80.0	82.6	62.6	63.2
L1*HLT: $W \rightarrow e\nu$	52.1	52.4	-	-



#### Higgs and photons

Signal process	Isolated	Relaxed	Isolated	Relaxed
	single	single	double	double
	photon	photon	photon	photon
HLT: $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma (m_H = 120 \text{ GeV})$	80.5	76.8	75.8	75.7
L1*HLT: $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma (m_H = 120 \text{ GeV})$	78.8	76.8	75.8	75.7

#### Higgs and taus

Table 5.2: Efficiencies and rates of the SingleTau HLT path.

	H <sup>±</sup> -	QCD	
	$M_{\rm H} = 200 {\rm GeV}/c^2$	$M_{\rm H} = 400  {\rm GeV}/c^2$	$\hat{p_T}$ 120-170
Level-2 ₽ <sub>T</sub> cut	59%	81%	6%
Level-2 Jet Reconstruction			
and Ecal Isolation	81%	85%	53%
Level-2.5 SiStrip Isolation	67%	76%	27%
Level-3 SiStrip Isolation	70%	72%	18%
HLT	23%	38%	0.15%
L1 * HLT	16%	29%	(1942) (1942)



### HLT : Tíming performance for L = 10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (2008 physics-run)

#### Time budget : Dictated by L1 output rate & Number of CPU nodes

 $150 \text{ kHz} / 2000 = <\text{Time}_{\text{machine}} = 40 \text{ ms}$ 

- Average processing time for different samples
- $\sim$  Weight by  $\sigma$  and L1 efficiency
- > Compare weighted sum with time of L1-accepted MinBias events

Sample	L1 efficiency (%)	L1 eff. $\times \sigma$ (pb)	Average time (ms)
Minimum bias	$0.19\pm0.01$	$(1.50 \pm 0.09)  imes 10^8$	42.7
QCD $\hat{p_T} \in [0, 15]$ GeV/c	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	$(4.36 \pm 0.49) \times 10^7$	31
QCD $\hat{p_T} \in [15, 20]$ GeV/c	$2.08\pm0.11$	$(3.04 \pm 0.17)  imes 10^7$	36
QCD $\hat{p}_{T} \in [20, 30]$ GeV/c	$5.75 \pm 0.18$	$(3.64 \pm 0.11) \times 10^7$	40
QCD $\hat{p}_{T} \in [30, 50]$ GeV/c	$21.70\pm0.41$	$(3.54 \pm 0.07) \times 10^7$	47
QCD $\hat{p}_{T} \in [50, 80]$ GeV/c	$63.36 \pm 0.84$	$(1.37 \pm 0.02) \times 10^7$	53
QCD $p_{T} \in [80, 120]$ GeV/c	$95.96 \pm 1.23$	$(2.96 \pm 0.04)  imes 10^{6}$	73
QCD $\hat{p}_{T} \in [120, 170]$ GeV/c	$99.87 \pm 1.18$	$(4.93 \pm 0.06)  imes 10^5$	143
QCD $p_{\rm T} \in [170, 230]$ GeV/c	$100.00\pm0.00$	$(1.01 \pm 0.00) \times 10^5$	264
QCD $\hat{p}_{T} \in [230, 300]$ GeV/c	$100.00\pm0.00$	$(2.45 \pm 0.00)  imes 10^4$	385
$pp \rightarrow \mu X$	$42.96 \pm 0.37$	$(1.03 \pm 0.01) \times 10^7$	74
W  ightarrow e  u	$93.18 \pm 0.59$	$(7.36 \pm 0.05) \times 10^3$	280
$W  ightarrow \mu  u$	$84.67\pm0.80$	$(8.29 \pm 0.08) \times 10^3$	123
$Z \rightarrow ee$	$99.54 \pm 0.67$	$(8.16 \pm 0.05) \times 10^2$	739
$Z  ightarrow \mu \mu$	$98.99 \pm 1.20$	$(7.82 \pm 0.09)  imes 10^2$	184
Weighted sum of QCD	, $W, Z$ and $pp \rightarrow \mu X$	Y contributions	42.9 ± 5.6

Table 8.4: Average processing wall-clock times for running the High-Level Trigger Menu at  $\mathcal{L} = 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  on Level-1-accepted events at an idle Core 2 5160 Xeon 3.0 GHz machine.



#### **Slow events :** Will autosave events if T > 600ms : saves time

Alternative

- scenarios :
- Give more bandwidth to τ, i.e more tracking : L1 single-tau : 80->60 GeV; L1 double-tau : 40->35 GeV. <T> : 43ms -> 45.8ms (MinBias)
- Cope with 2.10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>: Raise L1 thresholds => More sensitive to higher QCD bins : Processing time increases (busy events)
  - > Naïve extrapolation : <T>  $\sim$  56ms



### HLT: Timing performance for $\mathcal{L} = 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (2008 physics-run)

# Keys for present performance :

- > **Profiling studies** revealed slowest pieces of code
- **Use zero-suppressed data :** Reduces data-unpacking time
- **Regional reconstruction :** Muon, e/γ
- > Data-unpacking :
  - Regional for ECAL
  - Fast(er) for siStrip
- Machine :
  - Core-2
  - Data cache (memory allocation), sometimes more important than clock speed
- Optimizing trigger logic (τ-, b-triggers) : Filter more before event enters time-consuming steps
- Equal bandwidth distribution for leptons, jets,  $E_{T}^{miss}$

#### So far so good... It's not where we want to stay

#### **Coming improvements** :

- **Regional reconstruction :** Everywhere in HLT
- **Regional unpacking :** For the tracker
- Library for track-fitting (clhep -> S-Matrix) : avoid too much data-copying in new versions



### Conclusion / Perspectives

- Choice of physics explored : Already made at Level 1 Trigger
  - > Only with calorimeter and muon system
- **Full object reconstruction at High Level Trigger**, with higher resolution
- Most extensive study of HLT algorithms, efficiencies, rates, timing :
  - > Performance consistent with CMS physics program and resources

#### What if... > Rates go high :

- > Underestimated  $\sigma$  : Safety factor of 3/2 for L1/HLT
- > Busy event (impacts the timing) : Shielded with L1 seeding & Regionality
- > ... Timing improvements in pipeline

When/How	٨	<b>Varying conditions in 2008 :</b> $L : 10^{21} \rightarrow 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} / \Delta t : 75 \text{ ns} \rightarrow 25 \text{ ns}$
do we start :	۶	$L < 10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ : Understand Trigger & Detector in real LHC conditions

- Minimum Bias
- Relax algorithm cuts
- Calibration/alignment triggers
  - $\succ$  ECAL :  $\pi^0$
  - > Jet Energy Scale :  $\gamma$  + jets
  - > Tracks : J/ $\psi$  ->  $\mu\mu$ , isolated  $\pi^{\pm}$
- > Trigger redundancies -> Trigger efficiencies with Data
- $L \ge 10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ : Rediscover SM and... beyond



# Backup slides



Jet finder : "Square" finder



Sliding window

- $E_{T}(central \{4x4\} region) > others$ 
  - ( $\eta$ ,  $\phi$ ) : from {12x12} towers
  - $E_{T}(\{12x12\} \text{ towers}) > \text{cut}$
- τ-jet : Isolated- and narrow-deposit Jet
- Single-, double-, triple- & quad-thresholds
- Possible to cut on N(jets)
- All cuts programmable
- > Also  $H_T = \Sigma E_T$  (jets),  $\Sigma E_T$ ,  $E_T^{miss}$  triggers





- **Resistive Place Chambers :** Dedicated trigger detector : Excellent time resolution
- Drift Tubes (barrel) & Cathod Strip ۶ **Chambers** (endcap) : Precise position resolution











Bunches	β*	l <sub>b</sub>	Luminosity	Event rate
1 x 1	18	<b>10</b> <sup>10</sup>	10 <sup>27</sup>	Low
43 x 43	18	3 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	3.8 x 10 <sup>29</sup>	0.05
43 x 43	4	3 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	1.7 x 10 <sup>30</sup>	0.21
43 x 43	2	4 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	6.1 x 10 <sup>30</sup>	0.76
156 x 156	4	4 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	1.1 x 10 <sup>31</sup>	0.38
156 x 156	4	9 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	5.6 x10 <sup>31</sup>	1.9
156 x 156	2	9 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	1.1 x10 <sup>32</sup>	3.9

Mike Lamont