Inclusive Properties of Hadronic Final States at HERA

Daniel Traynor, EPS 2007







Presented Results



Measurement of Azimuthal Asymmetries in NC DIS. hep-ex 0608053 (Zeus Collab., S. Chekanov et al., Eur. Phys. J. C51 (2007) 289-299)



Measurement of Event Shape Variables in DIS. hep-ex 0512014 (H1 Collab., A. Aktas et al., Eur. Phys. J. C46 (2006) 343-356)



Charged Particle production in High Q2 DIS. similar hep-ex 0706.2456

analyses



Scaled Momentum Spectra in the Current Region of the Breit Frame. (ZEUS Preliminary)

Phase Space

Similar phase space for all analyses Azimuthal Asymmetries $100 < Q^2 < 8,000 \text{ GeV}^2$ 0.2 < y < 0.8 0.01 < x < 0.1Energy Flow Objects $Pt > 0.15 \text{ GeV}, \theta > 8^\circ$.

Scaled Momentum (H1)

100 < Q² < 20,000 GeV² 0.05 < y < 0.6 <u>Charged Particles</u> Pt > 0.12 GeV, 20° < θ < 165°

Scaled Momentum (ZEUS)

160 < Q² < 40,960 GeV² 0.0024 < x < 0.75 <u>Charged Particles</u> Pt > 0.15 GeV, 20° < θ < 164°

High Q² (>100 GeV²), reasonably large x → single large scale "Q", good place to test pQCD

Phenomenology



Azimuthal Asymmetries

$$\frac{d\sigma^{ep \to ehX}}{d\phi} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\cos\phi + \mathcal{C}\cos 2\phi + \mathcal{D}\sin\phi + \mathcal{E}\sin 2\phi$$



Azimuthal Asymmetries



Event Shapes



$$au = 1\!-\!T_\gamma$$
 with $T_\gamma = rac{\sum_h |ec{p}_{z,h}|}{\sum_h |ec{p}_h|}$

 $au_C = 1 - T_C$ - thrust along the axis maximising T (like in e^+e^-)

$$B=rac{\sum_{h}|ec{p}_{t,h}|}{2\sum_{h}|ec{p}_{h}|}$$
 – Jet Broadening

$$ho=rac{(\sum_h E_h)^2-(\sum_h ec p_h)^2}{(2\sum_h |ec p_h|)^2}$$
 – Jet inv. mass

$$C=rac{3}{2}rac{\sum_{h,h'}|ec{p}_{h}||ec{p}_{h'}|\sin^{2} heta_{h,h'}}{(\sum_{h}|ec{p}_{h}|)^{2}}$$

Born Level (α_s^0), current quark has no E_T Jets in the Breit frame are $O(\alpha_s)$

Provides clearest separation between particles from hard scattering and proton remnant. Allows for easy comparison with e+e- data current region energy scale is Q sums extend over all particles (energy flow) in current hemisphere of the Breit frame

→ 0 for Born Level> 0 for higher orders



Event Shapes



Fit of NLO+NLL+PC to data Theory has limited range of applicability

Extrapolation of fit to "unsafe" regions seems to work

Except for highest Q bin exprimental errors really small.

TC = 1-Tc : Thrust along the axis Maximising T

Event Shapes



$$x_p = \frac{(2P_h)}{Q}$$

$$D(x_p) = \frac{1}{N_{event}} dn/dx_p$$

- xp = scaled momentum
 variable
- Q/2 = Scale in current region of Breit Frame
- ph = momentum of charged
 particle in current region of
 Breit frame



D(xp) = event normalised, charged particle, scaled momentum distribution



Pretty good agreement between ep and e⁺e⁻ !

high Q² and small x_p reason unclear

low Q², mid x_p. expected to be due to BGF kinematics producing empty current region

NB: suppressed zeros







Fragmentation functions (KKP, KRETZER, AKK) taken from fits to e⁺e⁻ data

Scale and PDF errors small

Sensitivity to different FF

NLO theory does not describe the scaling violations seen in data





summary: Published H1 results Preliminary ZEUS data Selected e⁺e⁻ results

Summary

- HERA provides a rich source of data for studies of the hadronic final state.
- Azimuthal Asymmetries : NLO better that MC at describing data but still fails to describe the magnitude of the asymmetries.
- Event shapes: NLO + NLL + PC describe the data well. Power corrections give universal α_0 . Competitive value of α_s extracted, running of α_s also shown.
- Scaled Momentum: Broadly supports quark fragmentation universality between e⁺e⁻ and ep. NLO fails to describe the scaling violations seen in the data.



Event shapes in Breit Frame

•Event shapes F are defined such that $F \rightarrow 0$ for pencil-like hadron configurations aligned with z-axis.

·Born level quark in Breit Frame has $p_{T}\text{=}0$ so its fragments produce $F{\approx}0$

•Multijet configurations produce F > 0 in extreme F ${\approx}1$



★ Introduce effective non-pert. coupling $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\mu_I} \int_0^{\mu_I} \alpha_{eff}(k) dk$ ($\alpha_0 = \alpha_s$ at $\mu_I = 2$ GeV) (theory predicts universal $\alpha_0 \simeq 0.5$)

★ PC (Dokshitzer at al.): non-pert. corrections (suppressed by powers of 1/Q) obtained from first principles

- for distributions $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma(F)}{dF} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma^{\text{pQCD}}(F a_F \mathcal{P})}{dF}$
- ullet for mean values $\langle F
 angle = \langle F
 angle^{\mathrm{pQCD}} + a_F \mathcal{P}$.

(with universal PC term \mathcal{P})

\star Complete description for *F*: NLO+NLL+PC

Recent progress in theory (as compared to previous round of event shape analyses in DIS) – resummation of large log terms and matching it to fixed order NLO (DISRESUM package by Dasgupta and Salam, 2002)

 \star Limitations: very low F ($F \leq a_F \mathcal{P} \sim \mu_I/Q$) and very high F (substantial HO corr.)

Main aim of the analysis: check the validity of PC concept and universality of α_0 By product: yet another method/observables to extract $\alpha_s(M_Z)$







