Exciting the quark-gluon plasma with a relativistic jet

Massimo Mannarelli

in collaboration with Cristina Manuel arXiv:0705.1047 [hep-ph]



Setting: QCD phase diagram

Kinetic theory and stability analysis

QCD kinetic theory and fluid approach

✦ Jet traversing an equilibrated plasma

Conclusions and Outlook

QCD PHASE DIAGRAM



Phases of matter
H Hadronic phase
QGP Quark-Gluon Plasma
CSC Color Superconductor

QCD PHASE DIAGRAM



Phases of matterHHadronic phaseQGPQuark-Gluon PlasmaCSCColor Superconductor

BNL-Collaboration

Heavy-ion collision experiments aim to produce (and detect) a new form of matter. Plasma of "liberated" quarks and gluons.
 The method is very simple: smash heavy-ions to produce a system with extended energy density. The aim is to understand QCD

Alternative methods: numerical lattice simulations...

Kinetic equations for the distribution function of charged particles

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f = C$$
$$\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu}$$

Kinetic equations for the distribution function of charged particles

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f = C$$
$$\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu}$$

Vlasov approximation C=0

Kinetic equations for the distribution function of charged particles

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f = C$$
$$\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu}$$

Vlasov approximation C=0

Linear response analysis: $\delta J^{\mu} \sim -\Pi^{\mu\nu} A_{\nu}$

Kinetic equations for the distribution function of charged particles

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f = C$$
$$\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu}$$

Vlasov approximation C=0

Linear response analysis: $\delta J^{\mu} \sim -\Pi^{\mu\nu} A_{\nu}$

♦ We are interested in time scales shorter than binary collision time scales

✤ If C=0, and the system is in a steady state will it persist in such state?

Kinetic equations for the distribution function of charged particles

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f = C$$
$$\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = J^{\nu}$$

Vlasov approximation C=0

Linear response analysis: $\delta J^{\mu} \sim -\Pi^{\mu\nu} A_{\nu}$

♦ We are interested in time scales shorter than binary collision time scales

✤ If C=0, and the system is in a steady state will it persist in such state?

Long-range forces may drive the system out of equilibrium

Two methods for stability analysis:

1) Energy considerations:

Analyze the potential and see whether any perturbation destabilizes the system

2) Normal modes analysis:

Perturbe the equilibrium and study the (linearized) plasma equations. Fluctuations are $\sim exp(-i\omega t)$

Two methods for stability analysis:

1) Energy considerations:

Analyze the potential and see whether any perturbation destabilizes the system

2) Normal modes analysis:

Perturbe the equilibrium and study the (linearized) plasma equations. Fluctuations are $\sim exp(-i\omega t)$

Growth rate $\Gamma \sim {\rm Im}\,\omega$

Two methods for stability analysis:

1) Energy considerations:

Analyze the potential and see whether any perturbation destabilizes the system

2) Normal modes analysis:

Perturbe the equilibrium and study the (linearized) plasma equations. Fluctuations are $\sim exp(-i\omega t)$

Growth rate $\Gamma \sim {\rm Im}\,\omega$

Note that if Γ is sufficiently small $t\sim 1/\Gamma~$ can be so large to be irrelevant for our problem

Two methods for stability analysis:

1) Energy considerations:

Analyze the potential and see whether any perturbation destabilizes the system

2) Normal modes analysis:

Perturbe the equilibrium and study the (linearized) plasma equations. Fluctuations are $\sim exp(-i\omega t)$

Growth rate $\Gamma \sim {\rm Im}\,\omega$

Note that if Γ is sufficiently small $t\sim 1/\Gamma~$ can be so large to be irrelevant for our problem

Ex. In thermonuclear fusion it is enough to have $t\gtrsim 1s$

CLASSIFICATION OF INSTABILITY

Configuration space (macroscopic)
 Ex. the plasma tends to expand

2) Velocity space (departure from the initial distribution) Ex. two stream instability

CLASSIFICATION OF INSTABILITY

Configuration space (macroscopic)
 Ex. the plasma tends to expand

2) Velocity space (departure from the initial distribution) Ex. two stream instability

Electrostatic instabilities: growing accumulation of charge



CLASSIFICATION OF INSTABILITY

Configuration space (macroscopic)
 Ex. the plasma tends to expand

2) Velocity space (departure from the initial distribution) Ex. two stream instability

Electrostatic instabilities: growing accumulation of charge



Electromagnetic instabilities: growing accumulation of current density (pinching)

"WEIBEL" INSTABILITY

A mechanism to explain the rapid thermalization (or isotropization) by Mrowczynski Phys. Lett. B 214, 587 (1988) employs Weibel instabilities known in QED since the '50

 $\mathbf{B} = \hat{z}\cos(kx)$ X

• "Trapped particles" produce currents that lead to a growth of the magnetic fields

• "Untrapped particles" tend to cancel the magnetic fields

"WEIBEL" INSTABILITY

A mechanism to explain the rapid thermalization (or isotropization) by Mrowczynski Phys. Lett. B 214, 587 (1988) employs Weibel instabilities known in QED since the '50

 $\mathbf{B} = \hat{z}\cos(kx)$

X

• "Trapped particles" produce currents that lead to a growth of the magnetic fields

• "Untrapped particles" tend to cancel the magnetic fields

In order to have an instability there must be an anisotropic distribution in momentum

"WEIBEL" INSTABILITY

A mechanism to explain the rapid thermalization (or isotropization) by Mrowczynski Phys. Lett. B 214, 587 (1988) employs Weibel instabilities known in QED since the '50

 $\mathbf{B} = \hat{z}\cos(kx)$

• "Trapped particles" produce currents that lead to a growth of the magnetic fields

• "Untrapped particles" tend to cancel the magnetic fields

In order to have an instability there must be an anisotropic distribution in momentum

Numerical simulations seem to indicate that such a mechanism is not able to produce the sudden thermalization of the system (Arnold, Lenaghan, Moore, Strickland, Yaffe ...)

CRITERIA FOR INSTABILITY

A sufficient condition for instability (assuming parity invariant distribution) is given by the Penrose criterion (see e.g. Arnold et al. hep-ph/0307325):

The mode with wave number ${f q}$ is unstable when the matrix $q^2 \delta^{ij} + \Pi^{ij}(0,{f q})$

has a negative eigenvalue

CRITERIA FOR INSTABILITY

A sufficient condition for instability (assuming parity invariant distribution) is given by the Penrose criterion (see e.g. Arnold et al. hep-ph/0307325):

The mode with wave number ${f q}$ is unstable when the matrix $q^2 \delta^{ij} + \Pi^{ij}(0,{f q})$

has a negative eigenvalue

In terms of the effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(q^2\delta^{ij} + \Pi^{ij}(0, \mathbf{q}))\phi^2$



CRITERIA FOR INSTABILITY

A sufficient condition for instability (assuming parity invariant distribution) is given by the Penrose criterion (see e.g. Arnold et al. hep-ph/0307325):

 ${}^{\bullet}$ The mode with wave number ${\bf q}$ is unstable when the matrix $q^2 \delta^{ij} + \Pi^{ij}(0,{\bf q})$

has a negative eigenvalue

In terms of the effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(q^2\delta^{ij} + \Pi^{ij}(0, \mathbf{q}))\phi^2$ q=0 ϕ

For q=0 the system is stable. Dynamical instabilities do not correspond to tachyons.

Derivation (Manuel-Mrowczynski arXiv:hep-ph/0606276):

$$n^{\mu}(x) = \int_{p} p^{\mu} f(p, x)$$
$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \int_{p} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} f(p, x)$$

Covariant continuity equation $D_{\mu}n^{\mu}=0$

"Energy-momentum conservation" $D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{g}{2}\{F_{\mu}{}^{\nu}, n^{\mu}\} = 0$

Derivation (Manuel-Mrowczynski arXiv:hep-ph/0606276):

$$n^{\mu}(x) = \int_{p} p^{\mu} f(p, x)$$
$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \int_{p} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} f(p, x)$$

Covariant continuity equation $D_{\mu}n^{\mu} = 0$

"Energy-momentum conservation" $D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{g}{2}\{F_{\mu}{}^{\nu}, n^{\mu}\} = 0$

Current density $j^{\mu}(x) = -\frac{g}{2} \left(n u^{\mu} - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \left[n u^{\mu} \right] \right)$,

acts as a source term for the gauge fields: $D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu}(x) = j^{\nu}(x)$

Derivation (Manuel-Mrowczynski arXiv:hep-ph/0606276):

$$n^{\mu}(x) = \int_{p} p^{\mu} f(p, x)$$
$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \int_{p} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} f(p, x)$$

Covariant continuity equation $D_{\mu}n^{\mu} = 0$

"Energy-momentum conservation" $D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{g}{2}\{F_{\mu}^{\ \nu}, n^{\mu}\} = 0$

Current density $j^{\mu}(x) = -\frac{g}{2} \left(nu^{\mu} - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}[nu^{\mu}] \right)$,

acts as a source term for the gauge fields: $D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu}(x) = j^{\nu}(x)$

Ideal-fluid $n^{\mu}(x) = n(x) u^{\mu}(x)$ (no dissipation) $T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon(x) + p(x)) \{ u^{\mu}(x), u^{\nu}(x) \} - p(x) g^{\mu\nu}$

Derivation (Manuel-Mrowczynski arXiv:hep-ph/0606276):

$$n^{\mu}(x) = \int_{p} p^{\mu} f(p, x)$$
$$T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \int_{p} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} f(p, x)$$

Covariant continuity equation $D_{\mu}n^{\mu} = 0$

"Energy-momentum conservation" $D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{g}{2}\{F_{\mu}{}^{\nu}, n^{\mu}\} = 0$

Current density $j^{\mu}(x) = -\frac{g}{2} \left(n u^{\mu} - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}[n u^{\mu}] \right)$,

acts as a source term for the gauge fields: $D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu}(x) = j^{\nu}(x)$

 $n^{\mu}(x) = n(x) u^{\mu}(x)$ Ideal-fluid (no dissipation) $T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon(x) + p(x)) \{ u^{\mu}(x), u^{\nu}(x) \} - p(x) g^{\mu\nu}$

All quantities are matrices in color space e.g.

$$n_{\alpha\beta} = n_0 I_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} n_a \tau^a_{\alpha\beta}$$

Small colored fluctuations of density, energy density, pressure and plasma velocity, around their stationary and colorless values

$$n(x) = \bar{n} + \delta n(x) , \qquad \epsilon(x) = \bar{\epsilon} + \delta \epsilon(x) p(x) = \bar{p} + \delta p(x) , \qquad u^{\mu}(x) = \bar{u}^{\mu} + \delta u^{\mu}(x)$$

Small colored fluctuations of density, energy density, pressure and plasma velocity, around their stationary and colorless values

$$n(x) = \bar{n} + \delta n(x) , \qquad \epsilon(x) = \bar{\epsilon} + \delta \epsilon(x) p(x) = \bar{p} + \delta p(x) , \qquad u^{\mu}(x) = \bar{u}^{\mu} + \delta u^{\mu}(x)$$

Continuity equation and energy momentum conservation give 5 relations, but we have 6 unknowns (because $u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 1$).

Small colored fluctuations of density, energy density, pressure and plasma velocity, around their stationary and colorless values

 $n(x) = \bar{n} + \delta n(x), \qquad \epsilon(x) = \bar{\epsilon} + \delta \epsilon(x)$ $p(x) = \bar{p} + \delta p(x), \qquad u^{\mu}(x) = \bar{u}^{\mu} + \delta u^{\mu}(x)$

Continuity equation and energy momentum conservation give 5 relations, but we have 6 unknowns (because $u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 1$).

We need an extra relation that is given by the equation of state:

$$p(x) = c_s^a \epsilon(x)$$

Small colored fluctuations of density, energy density, pressure and plasma velocity, around their stationary and colorless values

 $\begin{aligned} n(x) &= \bar{n} + \delta n(x) , & \epsilon(x) &= \bar{\epsilon} + \delta \epsilon(x) \\ p(x) &= \bar{p} + \delta p(x) , & u^{\mu}(x) &= \bar{u}^{\mu} + \delta u^{\mu}(x) \end{aligned}$

Continuity equation and energy momentum conservation give 5 relations, but we have 6 unknowns (because $u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 1$).

We need an extra relation that is given by the equation of state:

$$p(x) = c_s^a \,\epsilon(x)$$

In the conformal limit $c_s^a = 1/\sqrt{3}$

however one can take this as a parameter for the fluid approach

Substituting the expression of the fluctuation we get

- $\bar{n} D_{\mu} \delta u^{\mu} + (D_{\mu} \delta n) \bar{u}^{\mu} = 0$
- $\bar{u}^{\mu}D_{\mu}\delta\epsilon + (\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{p})D_{\mu}\delta u^{\mu} = 0$

 $(\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{p})\bar{u}_{\mu}D^{\mu}\delta u^{\nu} - (D^{\nu} - \bar{u}^{\nu}\bar{u}_{\mu}D^{\mu})\delta p - g\bar{n}\bar{u}_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0$

the last is the linearized relativistic Euler equation. We analyze separately the colorless and colored components

Substituting the expression of the fluctuation we get

- $\bar{n} D_{\mu} \delta u^{\mu} + (D_{\mu} \delta n) \bar{u}^{\mu} = 0$
- $\bar{u}^{\mu}D_{\mu}\delta\epsilon + (\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{p})D_{\mu}\delta u^{\mu} = 0$

 $(\bar{\epsilon} + \bar{p})\bar{u}_{\mu}D^{\mu}\delta u^{\nu} - (D^{\nu} - \bar{u}^{\nu}\bar{u}_{\mu}D^{\mu})\delta p - g\bar{n}\bar{u}_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} =$

the last is the linearized relativistic Euler equation. We analyze separately the colorless and colored components

Colorless components:
$$\left(\frac{1}{c_s^2} - \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\omega^2}\right)\delta p_0 = 0$$

The colorless components correspond to sound waves. The colored components are more involved.

COLORED COMPONENTS

In the **stationary state** the color current vanishes

whereas with **fluctuations**

$$\bar{j}^{\mu} = -g\left(\bar{n}\,\bar{u}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\big[\bar{n}\,\bar{u}^{\mu}\big]\right) = 0$$

$$\delta j_a^{\mu} = -\frac{g}{2} \left(\bar{n} \,\delta u_a^{\mu} + \delta n_a \,\bar{u}^{\mu} \right)$$

in linear response theory

$$\delta j^{\mu}_{a}(k) = -\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{ab}(k)A_{\nu,b}(k) ,$$

 $\Pi^{\mu
u}_{ab}$

polarization tensor function of fluid variables

COLORED COMPONENTS

In the **stationary state** the color current vanishes

whereas with fluctuations

$$\bar{j}^{\mu} = -g\left(\bar{n}\,\bar{u}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\big[\bar{n}\,\bar{u}^{\mu}\big]\right) = 0$$

$$\delta j_a^{\mu} = -\frac{g}{2} \left(\bar{n} \,\delta u_a^{\mu} + \delta n_a \,\bar{u}^{\mu} \right)$$

in linear response theory

$$\delta j^{\mu}_{a}(k) = -\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{ab}(k)A_{\nu,b}(k) ,$$

 $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{polarization tensor} \\ \text{function of fluid variables} \end{array}$

Instead of studying the fluctuations of hydrodynamical quantities we study the fluctuations of the gauge fields.

COLORED COMPONENTS

In the **stationary state** the color current vanishes

whereas with **fluctuations**

$$\bar{j}^{\mu} = -g\left(\bar{n}\,\bar{u}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{Tr}\big[\bar{n}\,\bar{u}^{\mu}\big]\right) = 0$$

$$\delta j_a^{\mu} = -\frac{g}{2} \left(\bar{n} \,\delta u_a^{\mu} + \delta n_a \,\bar{u}^{\mu} \right)$$

in linear response theory

$$\delta j^{\mu}_{a}(k) = -\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{ab}(k)A_{\nu,b}(k) ,$$

 $\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{polarization tensor} \\ \text{function of fluid variables} \end{array}$

Instead of studying the fluctuations of hydrodynamical quantities we study the fluctuations of the gauge fields.

As in QED we say that the system is unstable when the gauge field grows exponentially. The assumption is that the colored fluctuations will not be damped at short time scales.

The gauge field obey the equation of motion

$$\left[k^{2}g^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu}k^{\nu} - \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k)\right]A_{\nu}(k) = 0$$

The gauge field obey the equation of motion $\begin{bmatrix} k^2 g^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu} k^{\nu} - \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) \end{bmatrix} A_{\nu}(k) = 0$

Defining the dielectric tensor

$$\varepsilon^{ij}(k) = \delta^{ij} + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \Pi^{ij}(k)$$

In the Coulomb gauge

$$\det \left[\mathbf{k}^2 \delta^{ij} - k^i k^j - \omega^2 \varepsilon^{ij}(k) \right] = 0$$

The gauge field obey the equation of motion $\begin{bmatrix} k^2 g^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu} k^{\nu} - \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) \end{bmatrix} A_{\nu}(k) = 0$

Defining the **dielectric tensor**

$$\varepsilon^{ij}(k) = \delta^{ij} + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \Pi^{ij}(k)$$

In the Coulomb gauge

$$\det\left[\mathbf{k}^2\delta^{ij} - k^ik^j - \omega^2\varepsilon^{ij}(k)\right] = 0$$

For a thermally equilibrated plasma

Solutions:

Longitudinal mode $\omega^2 = \omega_p^2 + c_s^2 k^2$

Transverse mode $\omega^2 = \omega_p^2 + k^2$

The gauge field obey the equation of motion $\begin{bmatrix} k^2 g^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu} k^{\nu} - \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) \end{bmatrix} A_{\nu}(k) = 0$

Defining the **dielectric tensor** $\varepsilon^{ij}(k)$

$$\varepsilon^{ij}(k) = \delta^{ij} + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \Pi^{ij}(k)$$

In the Coulomb gauge

$$\det\left[\mathbf{k}^2\delta^{ij} - k^ik^j - \omega^2\varepsilon^{ij}(k)\right] = 0$$

For a thermally equilibrated plasma

Solutions:

Longitudinal mode
$$\omega^2 = \omega_p^2 + c_s^2 k^2$$

Transverse mode $\omega^2 = \omega_p^2 + k^2$

Instabilities (solutions with $Im(\omega) > 0$) are absent

Plasma traversed by a jet:

Both with Fluid

- Both are neutral
- Color fluctuations

Plasma traversed by a jet:

Both with Fluid

- Both are neutral
- Color fluctuations

Aim: describe jet quenching as a collective phenomenon. Kinetic energy of the jet is transferred to the gauge fields via the instabilities: the plasma is EXCITED (and destabilized) by the propagating jet

- Both with Fluid
- Both are neutral
- Color fluctuations

Aim: describe jet quenching as a collective phenomenon. Kinetic energy of the jet is transfered to the gauge fields via the instabilities: the plasma is EXCITED (and destabilized) by the propagating jet Dielectric tensor of the total system in the "cold beam" approx. $\varepsilon_{t}^{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{t}^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\right) \delta^{ij} - \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\omega^{2}} \frac{c_{s}^{2}k^{i}k^{j}}{\omega^{2} - c_{s}^{2}\mathbf{k}^{2}} - \frac{\omega_{jet}^{2}}{\omega^{2}} \left(\frac{v^{i}k^{j} + v^{j}k^{i}}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}} - \frac{(\omega^{2} - \mathbf{k}^{2})v^{i}v^{j}}{(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})^{2}}\right)$ where $\omega_{t}^{2} = \omega_{p}^{2} + \omega_{jet}^{2}$ $b = \frac{\omega_{jet}^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}$

- Both with Fluid
- Both are neutral
- Color fluctuations

Aim: describe jet quenching as a collective phenomenon. Kinetic energy of the jet is transfered to the gauge fields via the instabilities: the plasma is EXCITED (and destabilized) by the propagating jet Dielectric tensor of the total system in the "cold beam" approx. $\varepsilon_{t}^{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{t}^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\right) \delta^{ij} - \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\omega^{2}} \frac{c_{s}^{2}k^{i}k^{j}}{\omega^{2} - c_{s}^{2}\mathbf{k}^{2}} - \frac{\omega_{jet}^{2}}{\omega^{2}} \left(\frac{v^{i}k^{j} + v^{j}k^{i}}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}} - \frac{(\omega^{2} - \mathbf{k}^{2})v^{i}v^{j}}{(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})^{2}}\right)$ where $\omega_{t}^{2} = \omega_{p}^{2} + \omega_{jet}^{2}$ $b = \frac{\omega_{jet}^{2}}{\omega_{t}^{2}}$

We look for unstable modes of the system as a function of the parameters $|\mathbf{k}|, |\mathbf{v}|, \cos \theta = \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}, b \text{ and } c_s$

UNSTABLE MODES

Main features:

- * Instabilities only for velocity of the jet larger than the speed of sound of the plasma (as for the Mach-cone Casalderrey-Solana et. al hep-ph/0411315)
- *Momentum of the collective mode k smaller than a threshold value
- *With increasing values of b the unstable modes grows faster *Non trivial dependence on θ

\mathbf{k} parallel to \mathbf{v}

b=0.02



♦ Unstable for c_s < v < 1
♦ Maximum for velocities v ~ 0.7-0.8
♦ For v=1, the instability disappears(dimensional contraction which occurs in the eikonal limit, see e.g. Jackiw hep-th/9112020)
♦ The threshold value of the momentum decreases with increasing velocity

${\bf k}$ othogonal to ${\bf v}$



♦ Unstable for c_s < v
♦ Has a maximum for v = 1
♦ The threshold value of the momentum increases with increasing velocity

OBLIQUE CASE





v=1.0



For $v \sim c_s$ modes collinear with v are dominant.

For ultra-relativistic velocities collinear modes are suppressed and dominant modes have

$$\theta \sim \pi/4$$

Time scale for the instability ~1-2fm/c

PRELIMINARY FROM KINETIC THEORY ANALYSIS

v=0.4







✦For v<<1 all modes seem to be equally unstable</p>

✦For ultra-relativistic velocities collinear modes are suppressed and dominant modes have large angles

COMPARISON KINETIC-FLUID

Transverse modes comparison



Rather good agreement.

The fluid approach underestimates the growth rate of the instability.



- Heavy-ion collisions give a unique setting for understanding QCD
- * Plasma instabilities may play a role in the jet-quenching
- * While traveling across the plasma jet degrade losing energy and momentum exciting the collective modes of the system
- ***** Similar results with kinetic theory (HTL) valid for g << 1
- * Outlook: calculation of energy loss, more complex configurations

BACKUP SLIDES

Elliptic flow: anisotropy in the momentum distribution of the hadrons

Overlapping region in peripheral collisions



space anisotropy

momentum anisotropy

Elliptic flow: anisotropy in the momentum distribution of the hadrons

Overlapping region in peripheral collisions



space anisotropy

Hydrodynamics !

pressure anisotropy

momentum anisotropy

Elliptic flow: anisotropy in the momentum distribution of the hadrons

Overlapping region in peripheral collisions



space anisotropy

Hydrodynamics !

pressure anisotropy

momentum anisotropy

• Pressure anisotropy converted into velocity anisotropy: $\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P$

Elliptic flow: anisotropy in the momentum distribution of the hadrons

Overlapping region in peripheral collisions



momentum anisotropy

• Pressure anisotropy converted into velocity anisotropy: $\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P$



Elliptic flow: anisotropy in the momentum distribution of the hadrons

Overlapping region in peripheral collisions

space anisotropy Hydrodynamics ! pressure anisotropy

momentum anisotropy

• Pressure anisotropy converted into velocity anisotropy: $\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P$



Transverse Momentum p T (GeV/c)

Open problem: hydro requires very short equilibration times ~0.6 fm/c how does the system equilibrate so quickly?

CONICAL FLOW

Casalderrey-Solana et. al hep-ph/0411315

• An ultrasonic jet produces a Mach shock-wave • The angle of emission of partons is simply given by $\cos \theta_M \simeq c_s/c$



CONICAL FLOW

Casalderrey-Solana et. al hep-ph/0411315

• An ultrasonic jet produces a Mach shock-wave • The angle of emission of partons is simply given by $\cos \theta_M \simeq c_s/c$



They find $\theta_M \simeq 1.2$ in good agreement with experimental data.

Phenix collaboration 0705.3238 [nucl-ex]



Phenix collaboration 0705.3238 [nucl-ex]



Phenix collaboration 0705.3238 [nucl-ex]



Mach cone structure ?

Simulations of Chaudhuri and Heinz, PRL 97,62301 (2006) with hydro do not give the Mach-cone structure. However they considered only colorless components!

Phenix collaboration 0705.3238 [nucl-ex]



Mach cone structure ?

Simulations of Chaudhuri and Heinz, PRL 97,62301 (2006) with hydro do not give the Mach-cone structure. However they considered only colorless components!

General idea: if hydro can reproduce soft phenomena, then it might be able to reproduce some aspects of jet quenching. Our idea: include color fluctuations

QCD VLASOV EQUATIONS

Kinetic equations for quarks, antiquarks and gluons

$$p^{\mu}D_{\mu}Q(p,x) + \frac{g}{2} p^{\mu} \{F_{\mu\nu}(x), \partial_{p}^{\nu}Q(p,x)\} = C$$

$$p^{\mu}D_{\mu}\bar{Q}(p,x) - \frac{g}{2} p^{\mu} \{F_{\mu\nu}(x), \partial_{p}^{\nu}\bar{Q}(p,x)\} = \bar{C}$$

$$p^{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}G(p,x) + \frac{g}{2} p^{\mu} \{\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x), \partial_{p}^{\nu}G(p,x)\} = C_{g}$$

In Vlasov approximation one neglects the collision terms

And Maxwell equations

$$D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu}(x) = \delta j_t^{\nu}(x)$$

Considering small fluctuations around an equilibrium distribution $Q(p,x) = f_{FD}^{eq.}(p_0) + \delta Q(p,x)$, $\bar{Q}(p,x) = f_{FD}^{eq.}(p_0) + \delta \bar{Q}(p,x)$, $G(p,x) = f_{BE}^{eq.}(p_0) + \delta G(p,x)$ We get the polarization

We get the polarization tensor in linear response analysis

$$ip_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\simeq -\Pi^{\mu\nu}A_{\nu}$$

JET QUENCHING

High transverse momentum partons lose energy in matter prior to forming hadrons. Suppression of the away-side yields at high p_t .

JET QUENCHING

High transverse momentum partons lose energy in matter prior to forming hadrons. Suppression of the away-side yields at high pt.

A jet loses energy mainly by radiative processes
To describe this phenomenon QCD has to be supplemented with medium-induced parton energy loss

JET QUENCHING

High transverse momentum partons lose energy in matter prior to forming hadrons. Suppression of the away-side yields at high pt.

A jet loses energy mainly by radiative processes
To describe this phenomenon QCD has to be supplemented with medium-induced parton energy loss

Many models on the market, see e.g. hep-ph/0304151