# Reconstructing early Universe properties with dark matter

## Nazila Mahmoudi

Lyon University

Thanks to Alex Arbey, John Ellis and Glenn Robbins

PASCOS 2019 XXV International Symposium

The University of Manchester – 1-5 July 2019



Recombination (and emission of cosmic microwave background) constitutes a limit between the dark times and the observable Universe

- How to describe the beginning of the Universe (~ Planck energy)? Quantum gravity? Brane theories? Other gravitation theories?
- What did drive inflation in the early Universe? When did it end?
- Do/did topological defects (magnetic monopoles, domain walls, ...) exist?
- Do/did primordial black holes exist?
- What did happen during leptogenesis?
- What did happen during baryogenesis?
- Where does the particle-antiparticle asymmetry come from?
- Did the relic particle freeze-out happen, how and when?
- Do we fully understand the properties of the QCD-dominated plasma?
- Do we fully understand Big-Bang nucleosynthesis?

Т

#### Hypothesis: dark matter (DM) made of thermal relics.

#### Thermal relics

- Stable, massive and weakly interacting particles
- Particles in thermal equilibrium in the early Universe
- $\bullet\,$  At the freeze-out temperature (  $\sim 10-100$  GeV), suppressed interactions with the thermal bath
- After freeze-out, annihilation/co-annihilation of relic particles
- Out-of-equilibrium description of relic density through Boltzmann equations
- Particle physics candidates should have the observed cold dark matter density
- Standard particle physics candidates are in reach of the LHC and dark matter detection experiments

For illustrative purposes: dark matter composed of the MSSM lightest neutralinos.

## Phenomenological MSSM (pMSSM)

- The most general MSSM scenario with R-parity and minimal flavour violation
- No universality assumption
- 19 independent parameters (20 with the gravitino mass)
- If the neutralino is the lightest supersymmetric particle (thus stable), it can constitute dark matter

## Lightest neutralino ${ ilde \chi}^{ extsf{0}}_1 \equiv \chi$

- Mixed state of bino/wino/higgsino
- if mostly bino, very weakly interacting
- if mostly wino, accompanied by one chargino close in mass
- if mostly higgsino, accompanied by one chargino and another neutralino close in mass
- if mixed, more strongly interacting

For illustrative purposes: dark matter composed of the MSSM lightest neutralinos.

## Phenomenological MSSM (pMSSM)

- The most general MSSM scenario with R-parity and minimal flavour violation
- No universality assumption
- 19 independent parameters (20 with the gravitino mass)
- If the neutralino is the lightest supersymmetric particle (thus stable), it can constitute dark matter

## Lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \equiv \chi$

- Mixed state of bino/wino/higgsino
- if mostly bino, very weakly interacting
- if mostly wino, accompanied by one chargino close in mass
- if mostly higgsino, accompanied by one chargino and another neutralino close in mass
- if mixed, more strongly interacting

#### Relic density: standard calculation

In the Standard Model of Cosmology:

• before and at nucleosynthesis time, the expansion is dominated by radiation

$$H^2=8\pi G/3 imes 
ho_{\mathsf{rad}}$$

• the evolution of the number density of all BSM particles follows the Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -3Hn - \langle \sigma_{\rm eff} v \rangle (n^2 - n_{\rm eq}^2)$$

 $\langle \sigma_{\rm eff} v \rangle$ : thermal average of effective cross section related to the amplitudes of (co-)annihilations of BSM particles into SM particles

• the time and temperature are related through the adiabaticity condition:

$$\frac{ds_{\rm rad}}{dt} = -3Hs_{\rm rad}$$

with  $s_{rad} \propto h_{eff}(T) T^3$  ( $h_{eff}$ : radiation entropy degrees of freedom)

The differential equations are solved from an initial temperature  $T_{init}$  down to the present temperature  $T_0 = 2.725$  K

The relic density is then obtained:

$$\Omega_{\chi}h^2(T_0) \equiv 2.755 imes 10^{-8} rac{
ho_{\chi}(T_0)}{s_{rad}(T_0)} \qquad ext{with} \ \ 
ho_{\chi} = m_{\chi} \ n(T_0)$$

Very precise measurements of cold dark matter density by Planck (+ others) (2018):  $\Omega_c h^2(T_0)=0.120\pm0.001$ 

The Planck results lead to very strong constraints on BSM parameters.

Very precise measurements of cold dark matter density by Planck (2018):  $\Omega_c h^2 = 0.120 \pm 0.001$ 



A. Arbey, M. Boudaud, FM, G. Robbins, JHEP 1711 (2017) 132

Possible solutions with a large range of masses

Very precise measurements of cold dark matter density by Planck (2018):  $\Omega_c h^2 = 0.120 \pm 0.001$ 



A. Arbey, M. Boudaud, FM, G. Robbins, JHEP 1711 (2017) 132

Possible solutions with a large range of masses



#### Theoretical limitations: uncertainties

- QCD equations of state:  ${\sim}5\%$
- Sommerfeld enhancement (non-perturbative): ~5-50%
- Higher order corrections:  $\sim$ 5–30%
- Multi-component dark matter: lower limit not applicable?
- Early Universe dominated by a dark component: lower limit not applicable?
- Entropy injection in early Universe: Planck limits not applicable?

Let's do the opposite:

#### particle physics $\rightarrow$ cosmology

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Particle physics scenarios}\\ \Downarrow\\ \text{Calculation of dark matter observables in different cosmological scenarios}\\ \Downarrow\\ \text{Constraints on cosmological models and phenomena in the dark times...}\end{array}$ 

In alternative cosmological scenarios, the expansion rate can be modified:

$$H^2 = 8\pi G/3 \times (\rho_{rad} + \rho_D)$$

The entropy content of the Universe can also be altered!

$$rac{ds_{\mathsf{rad}}}{dt} = -3Hs_{\mathsf{rad}} + \Sigma_{\mathsf{D}}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Modified relation between time, expansion rate and temperature!

And relics can be generated non-thermally:

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -3Hn - \langle \sigma_{\rm eff} v \rangle (n^2 - n_{\rm eq}^2) + N_D$$

 $\rho_D$ ,  $\Sigma_D$  and  $N_D$  are model-dependent...

Scenario with a pressureless decaying scalar field (e.g. modulus, late inflaton, dilaton, ...) of energy density  $\rho_{\phi}$ :

$$H^2 = 8\pi G/3 \left(\rho_{rad} + \rho_{\phi}\right)$$

We define the scalar field decay width  $\Gamma_{\phi}$ , with a large branching fraction to radiation and a (tiny) branching ratio *b* to WIMPs:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho_{\phi}}{dt} &= -3H\rho_{\phi} - \Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi} \\ \frac{ds_{rad}}{dt} &= -3Hs_{rad} + \frac{\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}}{T} \\ \frac{dn}{dt} &= -3Hn - \langle \sigma_{\text{eff}}v \rangle \left(n^2 - n_{eq}^2\right) + \frac{b}{m_{\phi}}\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi} \end{aligned}$$

Reheating temperature  $T_{RH}$  (at which the scalar field is mostly decayed) defined by:

$$\Gamma_{\phi} = \sqrt{rac{4\pi^3 g_{eff}(T_{RH})}{45}} rac{T_{RH}^2}{M_P}$$

Non-thermal production parameter:

$$\eta = b\left(rac{1 ext{ GeV}}{m_{\phi}}
ight)$$

Initial (relative) scalar field density:

$$\kappa_{\phi} = rac{
ho_{\phi}(T_{init})}{
ho_{\gamma}(T_{init})}$$

A. Arbey, J. Ellis, FM, G. Robbins, JHEP 1810 (2018) 132

N. Mahmoudi

#### Relic density: decaying scalar field vs. Big-Bang nucleosynthesis

Value of the relic density as a function of  $T_{RH}$  and  $\kappa_{\phi}$  for a pMSSM example point with  $\Omega_{\text{standard}} h^2 = 1.27$ , in absence of non-thermal production ( $\eta = 0$ )

#### Relic density: decaying scalar field vs. Big-Bang nucleosynthesis

Value of the relic density as a function of  $T_{RH}$  and  $\kappa_{\phi}$  for a pMSSM example point with  $\Omega_{\text{standard}}h^2 = 1.27$ , in absence of non-thermal production ( $\eta = 0$ )



The gray region is excluded by Big-Bang nucleosynthesis constraints, which imposes  $$T_{R\!H}>6$~{\rm MeV}$$ 

The dark strip is compatible with Planck results

We consider a point with too large relic density (pMSSM point with bino-like neutralino):

 $\Omega_{
m standard} h^2 = 1.27$  to be compared to  $\Omega_{
m Play}$ 





 $\Omega_{\rm Planck} h^2 = 0.120 \pm 0.001$ 

The dark region corresponds to the Planck value  $\pm 10\%$  theoretical uncertainty.

The whole parameter region is compatible with Big-Bang nucleosynthesis constraints.

The relic density can be easily decreased by 3-4 orders of magnitude for any values of  $\eta$ .

Minimal value of  $\kappa_{\phi}$  to obtain the observed relic density as a function of original relic density  $\Omega_{\text{standard}}h^2$  for a sample of pMSSM points, with  $T_{RH} = 6$  MeV (limit of BBN),  $T_{init} = 40$  GeV and in absence of non-thermal production ( $\eta = 0$ )



This sets constraints on the primordial Universe...

#### Relic density: decaying scalar field

We consider a point with **too small relic density** (pMSSM point with higgsino-like neutralino):

 $\Omega_{
m standard} h^2 = 5.9 imes 10^{-3}$  to be compared to





$$\Omega_{
m Planck}h^2 = 0.120 \pm 0.001$$

The dark region corresponds to the Planck value  $\pm 10\%$  theoretical uncertainty.

The whole parameter region is compatible with Big-Bang nucleosynthesis constraints.

The relic density is decreased in absence of  $\eta$ , but can be easily increased by 2–3 orders of magnitude for tiny values of  $\eta$ .

N. Mahmoudi

Maximal value of non-thermal production parameter  $\eta$  to obtain the observed relic density as a function of the neutralino mass and freeze-out temperature for a sample of pMSSM points, with  $T_{init} = 40$  GeV



This sets constraints on the primordial Universe...

#### Conclusions

#### Conclusions

- Decaying scalar fields can increase or decrease relic density by orders of magnitude
- It is not possible to exclude a new physics scenario using only relic density constraints
- Relic density constraints can simultaneously set limits on BOTH a new physics model AND a cosmological scenario
- If new particles are discovered, relic density will provide constraints on the early Universe properties at  $T \sim \text{GeV-TeV}$

#### Commercial

- SuperIso Relic v4: calculation of DM observables in SUSY arXiv:1806.11489 http://superiso.in2p3.fr/relic/
- aSuperIsoDM: calculation of DM observables in generic DM scenarios SOON!
- AlterBBN v2: calculation of BBN observables arXiv:1806.11095 [astro-ph.CO] https://alterbbn.hepforge.org/

Open source codes

• Careful treatment of uncertainties, and flexible cosmological scenarios

#### Conclusions

#### Conclusions

- Decaying scalar fields can increase or decrease relic density by orders of magnitude
- It is not possible to exclude a new physics scenario using only relic density constraints
- Relic density constraints can simultaneously set limits on BOTH a new physics model AND a cosmological scenario
- If new particles are discovered, relic density will provide constraints on the early Universe properties at  $T \sim \text{GeV-TeV}$

#### Commercial

- SuperIso Relic v4: calculation of DM observables in SUSY arXiv:1806.11489 http://superiso.in2p3.fr/relic/
- aSuperIsoDM: calculation of DM observables in generic DM scenarios SOON!
- AlterBBN v2: calculation of BBN observables arXiv:1806.11095 [astro-ph.CO] https://alterbbn.hepforge.org/
- Open source codes
- Careful treatment of uncertainties, and flexible cosmological scenarios

## Backup

Dark matter density normalised to radiation entropy density as a function of  $m_{\chi}/T$ .



D. Hooper, TASI lecture 2008

The moment at which the dark matter density leaves the equilibrium density is called freeze-out.

Evolution of the scalar field density, WIMP density and entropy injection  $\tilde{\Sigma}^* \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}}{3HTs_{rad}}$ as a function of  $x = m_{\chi}/T$ , in absence of non-thermal production of WIMPs  $(\eta = 0)$ 



 $T_{RH} = 0.01 \text{ GeV}, \ \kappa_{\phi}^{init} = 100, \ T_{init} = 40 \text{ GeV}$ 

 $T_{RH} = 10$  GeV,  $\kappa_{\phi}^{init} = 100$ ,  $T_{init} = 40$  GeV

Complex interplay between expansion rate and entropy injection...

In absence of non-thermal WIMP production, results in a decrease of the relic density

Evolution of the WIMP density as a function of  $x = m_{\chi}/T$  in presence of non-thermal production of WIMPs



Standard scenario can be strongly modified by the non-thermal production of WIMPs.

N. Mahmoudi