Any Room Left For Technicolour? :Holographic modelling



But nothing else out to 1 TeV...

So all BSM is now fine tuned at 1 in 100..

Still worth ruling out ideas...

Nick Evans University of Southampton

Electroweak Scale – Technicolour

The base idea is to repeat QCD

$$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \to SU(2)_V$$

The scale is set by $v = f\pi$...

$$\frac{-ip^{n}s\pi}{\frac{i}{p^{2}}}$$

There is no scalar below this scale in QCD... dead?

Adding extra electroweak singlet quarks changes the running of the technicolour gauge group...

But how do we compute in non-QCD like strong coupling?

How Does AdS/CFT Work 1





Dilatations

$$\int d^4x \ \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi, \qquad x \to e^{-\alpha} x, \quad \phi \to e^{\alpha} \phi$$

Become spacetime symmetry of AdS

$$ho \rightarrow e^{lpha}
ho$$

is a continuous mass dimension $\rho ~\rightarrow {\rm RG}~{\rm Scale}$

How Does AdS/CFT Work2



$$\sqrt{-Detg} = Det \left[- \begin{pmatrix} -\rho^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho^2} \end{pmatrix} \right]^{1/2} = \rho^3$$



Operators and sources appear as fields in the bulk

Eg

$$\int d^4x \,\,m\,\, ar{\psi}\psi$$

m is the quark mass c is the quark condensate

A field for the mass,

$$S = \int d^4x \ \int d\rho \ \frac{1}{2} \rho^3 (\partial_\rho L)^2$$

$$\partial_{\rho} \left[\rho^3 \partial_{\rho} L \right] = 0$$

$$L = m + \frac{c}{\rho^2}$$

Running Dimensions in Holography

Raul Alvares, NE, Keun-Young arXiv:1204.2474 [hep-ph];

Matti Jarvinen, Elias Kiritsis arXiv:1112.1261 [hep-ph]

Holographically we can change the dimension of our operator by adding a mass term

$$\partial_{\rho}[\rho^{3}\partial_{\rho}L] - \rho\Delta m^{2}L = 0$$

$$L = \frac{m_{FP}}{\rho^{\gamma}} + \frac{c_{FP}}{\rho^{2-\gamma}},$$

$$\gamma(\gamma - 2) = \Delta m^2$$

 Δ^2 m = -1 corresponds to γ = 1 and is special – the Breitenlohner Freedman bound instability...

DUALITY between strong dynamics condensation and a 5th dimensional higgs mechanism

So we can include a running coupling by a running mass squared for the scalar.

$$\Delta m^2 = -2\gamma = -\frac{3(N_c^2-1)}{2N_c\pi}\alpha$$

The only free parameters are Nc, Nf, m, Λ

Formation of the Chiral Condensate

We solve for the vacuum configuration of L

$$\partial_{\rho} [\rho^{3} \partial_{\rho} L] - \rho \Delta m^{2} L = 0 \,. \label{eq:eq:phi}$$

5



Read off m and qq in the UV...

Meson Fluctuations

$$S = \int d^4x \ d\rho \operatorname{Tr} \rho^3 \left[\frac{1}{\rho^2 + |X|^2} |DX|^2 + \frac{\Delta m^2}{\rho^2} |X|^2 + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} (F_V^2 + F_A^2) \right]$$

$$L = L_0 + \delta(\rho)e^{ikx} \qquad k^2 = -M^2$$



$$\begin{split} \partial_{\rho}(\rho^{3}\delta') &- \Delta m^{2}\rho\delta - \rho L_{0}\delta \left. \frac{\partial \Delta m^{2}}{\partial L} \right|_{L_{0}} \\ &+ M^{2}R^{4} \frac{\rho^{3}}{(L_{0}^{2} + \rho^{2})^{2}} \delta = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

The normalizable solutions pick out particular mass states... the σ and its radial excited states...

The gauge fields let us also study the operators and states

 $\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q \rightarrow \rho$ meson

$$\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}q \rightarrow a \text{ meson}$$

SU(Nc) gauge + 3 quarks

NE, Erdmenger & Mark Scott

arXiv:1412.3165 [hep-ph]



There is very little Nc dependence – basically quenched... Hence comparison to quenched lattice data (Bali et al... arXiv1304.4437) All of these models lie within 10-15% on any point....

SU(3) gauge theory + Nf quarks



We indeed see a light sigma relative to the rho... cf higgs

Technicolour Exclusions



It has been suggested that as one approaches the critical Nf at the edge of the conformal window V-A symmetry is restored and S-> 0

$$S = \int d^4x \ d\rho \operatorname{Tr} \rho^3 \left[\frac{1}{\rho^2 + |X|^2} |DX|^2 + \frac{\Delta m^2}{\rho^2} |X|^2 + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} (F_V^2 + F_A^2) \right]$$

V-A symmetry is restored holographically by κ -> 0 (no Nf prediction)

Giving TC a last chance...

Most likely there is no choice of Nc Nf that will realize the physical mh...

But let's imagine we get lucky... because we don't know the IR running of the gauge coupling we don't know which Nc Nf combination to pick...

So lets holographically describe all Nc Nf pairs:



tune κ to give S=0.1...

Change the IR running (NfIR) to give mh = fp/2

Most likely the spectrum is in every case wrong! BUT if there is one theory that works we hope to have captured it... lets rule it out!

Pheno Exculsions Dilepton channel

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{boson} &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \Big[\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{W}^{\mu\nu} \Big] - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{B}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [F_{L\mu\nu} F_L^{\mu\nu} + F_{R\mu\nu} F_R^{\mu\nu}] \\ &+ m^2 \text{Tr} [C_{L\mu}^2 + C_{R\mu}^2] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [D_\mu M D^\mu M^\dagger] - \tilde{g}^2 r_2 \text{Tr} [C_{L\mu} M C_R^\mu M^\dagger] \\ &- \frac{i \tilde{g} r_3}{4} \text{Tr} [C_{L\mu} (M D^\mu M^\dagger - D^\mu M M^\dagger) + C_{R\mu} (M^\dagger D^\mu M - D^\mu M^\dagger M)] \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{g}^2 s}{4} \text{Tr} [C_{L\mu}^2 + C_{R\mu}^2] \text{Tr} [M M^\dagger] + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \text{Tr} [M M^\dagger] - \frac{\lambda}{4} \text{Tr} [M M^\dagger]^2, \end{aligned}$$

Walking Technicolor in the light of Z' searches at the LHC

Alexander Belyaev^{a,c}, Azaria Coupe^a, Mads Frandsen^b, Emmanuel Olaiya^c, Claire Shepherd-Themistocleous^c

They generically model SM + higgs + rho and a mesons

from Z' and Z'' DY processes

Looks like pretty good reach and exclusion

But where do real models lie in the space?



With Belyaev, Coupe, Locke, Scott. 1812.09052





The a=0 line is where the rho and a become degenerate in mass and decay constant – elsewhere conspiracies balance S= 0.1



FIG. 3: Shaded areas present 95% CL projected exclusion on the $M_A - \tilde{g}$ plane for 27(15 ab⁻¹) (top) and 100 TeV (3 ab⁻¹)(bottom) pp collider from dilepton DY resonance searches. The notations are the same as in Figure 2.

Motivates need to look at additional channels...

Eg Vector Boson Fusion

EG diboson and boson higgs final staes

Conclusions

The origin of mass remains a key element of the SM

• Can we do a better job of computing at strong coupling; is the higgs potential dynamical in origin?

• Holography can be brought, at least in toy form, all the way to the theories in question

•We can mimic QCD

• We can explore simply technicolour like models

• Low doublet models still escape direct detection bounds (if you believe in tuning for a light dynamical higgs)

•TO DO: Ideal walking theories

•TO DO: new signals that try to exclude all theory space

•TO DO: composite higgs model and composite top partners