Triplet extension of the MSSM: Higgs Physics and Dark Matter

> Germano Nardini DESY

> > SUSY 2014 24/7/2014

Based on works done with C. Arina, A. Delgado, V. Martin-Lozano, M. Quirós

Germano Nardini Triplet extension of the MSSM

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Outline

Outline



- 2 Higgs Phenomenology
 - Features at small m_A
 - Features at large m_A
 - Without dark matter requests
 - With dark matter requests

3 Conclusion

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

臣

Introduction

Motivation

- $\bullet~{\rm No}$ clear discrepancies between data and SM predictions with $m_h\simeq 126~{\rm GeV}$
- If we do not give up with the (Planck/GUT EW) hierarchy problem, SUSY is (one of) the favourite UV option
- In the MinimalSSM $m_h \simeq 126 \text{ GeV}$ requires "heavy" stop sector \Rightarrow Little Hierarchy Problem, i.e. some fine-tuning
- Non-minimalSSM models can alleviate this problem as they can enhance the tree-level Higgs mass via

D-terms: Extra gauge interactions

F-terms: Extra chiral sector (singlets and/or triplets)

THE Y = 0 TRIPLET

Less free parameters than $Y=\pm 1$ extension, and extra charginos with collider and cosmological effects

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Э

The Y=0 Triplet Extension (Espinosa&Quiros'92,Di Chiara&Hsieh'08)

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^0 / \sqrt{2} & -\xi_2^+ \\ \xi_1^- & -\xi^0 / \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta W = \lambda H_1 \cdot \Sigma H_2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_\Sigma tr \Sigma^2 + \mu H_1 \cdot H_2$$

• T parameter bound requires $\langle \xi^0 \rangle \lesssim 4 \,{\rm GeV}$ which imposes (unless of fine-tuning)

$$|A_{\lambda}|,\,|\mu|\,,|\mu_{\Sigma}|\lesssim \frac{m_{\Sigma}^2+\lambda^2v^2/2}{10^2\;\lambda v}$$

• This hierarchy implies decoupling between ξ^0 and H_1, H_2

Mass boost: $V(H_1, H_2) \simeq V_{MSSM} + \lambda^2 |H_1^0 H_2^0|^2$

(for
$$m_A \to \infty$$
: $m_h^2 = m_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2\beta/2$)
(large λ and small $\tan \beta$ preferred)

(other tripl. extens. in E.J.Chun&al,1209.1303; Z.Kang&ala1301=2204) → 💿 🗠

The relevant spectrum

- Heavy scalar triplet [$\gtrsim 5\,{\rm TeV}$]
- Minimiz. conditions

$$m_3^2 = m_A^2 \sin \beta \cos \beta$$
, $m_Z^2 = \frac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{\cos 2\beta} - m_A^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}v^2$

• CP-odd/charged Higgses [no preferences]

$$m_A^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2|\mu|^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}v^2$$
, $m_{H^{\pm}}^2 = m_A^2 + m_W^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}v^2$

• Charginos [$\mathcal{O}(100\,{\rm GeV})$ if $\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ excesses]

$$\left(\tilde{W}^{-}, \tilde{H}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\xi}_{1}^{-} \right) \mathcal{M}_{ch}^{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{W}^{+} \\ \tilde{H}_{2}^{+} \\ \tilde{\xi}_{2}^{+} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{ch}^{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{2} \ gv_{2} \ 0 \\ gv_{1} \ \mu \ -\lambda v_{2} \\ 0 \ \lambda v_{1} \ \mu_{\Sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$

Triplet extension of the MSSM

The relevant spectrum

• CP-even Higgs masses (basis h_2, h_1)

$$\mathcal{M}_{0}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{A}^{2}\cos^{2}\beta + m_{Z}^{2}\sin^{2}\beta & (\lambda^{2}v^{2} - m_{A}^{2} - m_{Z}^{2})\sin 2\beta/2 \\ (\lambda^{2}v^{2} - m_{A}^{2} - m_{Z}^{2})\sin 2\beta/2 & m_{A}^{2}\sin^{2}\beta + m_{Z}^{2}\cos^{2}\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

• After including radiative corrections $\Delta M^2_{\tilde{t}}(h_t)$ and $\Delta M^2_{\Sigma}(\lambda)$ (also in the min.condts) $[m_h = 126 \text{ GeV}]$

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_2 \\ h_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ H \end{pmatrix}$$

Coupling ratios $r_{\mathcal{H}XX} = g_{\mathcal{H}XX}/g_{hXX}^{\mathrm{SM}}$ $(\mathcal{H}=h,H)$							
r_{hV}^0	VV	r_{HVV}^0	r_{htt}^0	r_{Htt}^0	r_{hdd}^0	r_{Hdd}^0	
$\sin(\beta$	$-\alpha)$	$\cos(\beta - \alpha)$	$\frac{\cos\alpha}{\sin\beta}$	$\frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \beta}$	$-\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\beta}$	$\frac{\cos\alpha}{\cos\beta}$	

The model

The relevant spectrum

• CP-even Higgs masses (basis h_2, h_1)

$$\mathcal{M}_{0}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{A}^{2}\cos^{2}\beta + m_{Z}^{2}\sin^{2}\beta & (\lambda^{2}v^{2} - m_{A}^{2} - m_{Z}^{2})\sin 2\beta/2\\ (\lambda^{2}v^{2} - m_{A}^{2} - m_{Z}^{2})\sin 2\beta/2 & m_{A}^{2}\sin^{2}\beta + m_{Z}^{2}\cos^{2}\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

SM-LIKE INTERACTIONS WHEN $\alpha \simeq \beta - \pi/2$

Case 1: $\beta \simeq \beta_c = \pi/4, \ \lambda \simeq \lambda_c = \sqrt{2}m_h/2$ (relevant but accidental) Case 2: $m_A \gg m_h$ (robust but obvious)

Coupling ratios $r_{\mathcal{H}XX} = g_{\mathcal{H}XX}/g_{hXX}^{\mathrm{SM}}$ $(\mathcal{H}=h,H)$					
r^0_{hVV}	r_{HVV}^0	r_{htt}^0	r_{Htt}^0	r_{hdd}^0	r_{Hdd}^0
$\sin(\beta - \alpha)$	$\cos(\beta - \alpha)$	$\frac{\cos\alpha}{\sin\beta}$	$\frac{\sin\alpha}{\sin\beta}$	$-\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\beta}$	$\frac{\cos\alpha}{\cos\beta}$

Germano Nardini

Triplet extension of the MSSM

Case 1

- Existence of the SM-like point beyond tree-level approx
- Quantifying the " \simeq "
- Some phenomenology

Delgado, GN, Quiros, ArXiv:1303.0800

Similar idea in the MSSM for some radiative corrections: Carena&al. ArXiv:1310.2248 (see Wagner and Carena's talks) Long ago for 2HDM: Haber and Gunion, hep-ph/0207010

<回> < 回> < 回> < 回> -

(similar idea in Carena&al 1310:2248 for 2HDM)

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン ・ヨン

• CP-even Higgs masses (basis h_2, h_1)

$$\mathcal{M}_{0}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{A}^{2}\cos^{2}\beta + m_{Z}^{2}\sin^{2}\beta & (\lambda^{2}v^{2} - m_{A}^{2} - m_{Z}^{2})\sin 2\beta/2\\ (\lambda^{2}v^{2} - m_{A}^{2} - m_{Z}^{2})\sin 2\beta/2 & m_{A}^{2}\sin^{2}\beta + m_{Z}^{2}\cos^{2}\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_2 \\ h_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ H \end{pmatrix}$$

• Tree-level h couplings are SM-like if $\alpha = \beta - \pi/2$. With \mathcal{M}_0^2 :

$$\beta_c = \frac{\pi}{4}, \qquad \lambda_c = \sqrt{2} \frac{m_h}{v}$$

The lightest eigenvalue is INDEPENDENT of m_A

AND BEYOND TREE LEVEL ?

Germano Nardini Triplet extension of the MSSM

Radiative correction $\Delta M_{\tilde{t}}^2(h_t)$ and $\Delta M_{\Sigma}^2(\lambda)$ included ($m_Q = 700 \text{ GeV}$, $A_t = 0, m_{\Sigma} = 5 \text{ TeV}$). Curves: $m_A = \infty, 200, 155, 145, 140, 135, 130 \text{ GeV}$



Germano Nardini

Triplet extension of the MSSM

(similar idea in Carena&al 1310:2248 for 2HDM)

• Given a spectrum and its dominant stop and triplet corrections

$$\mathcal{M}_{1}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{A}^{2}\cos^{2}\beta + m_{11}^{2}\sin^{2}\beta & (-m_{A}^{2} - m_{12}^{2})\sin 2\beta/2 \\ (-m_{A}^{2} - m_{12}^{2})\sin 2\beta/2 & m_{A}^{2}\sin^{2}\beta + m_{22}^{2}\cos^{2}\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

ullet . . . the lighest eigenvalue m_h is equal to \overline{m}_h when

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{D} &- \overline{m}_h^2 \mathcal{T} + \overline{m}_h^4 = 0 \\ & \downarrow \\ A(\tan\beta, \lambda; \overline{m}_h^2) m_A^2 + B(\tan\beta, \lambda; \overline{m}_h^2) = 0 \qquad \text{(no } m_A^4 !\text{)}, \end{split}$$

- there exists a solution that is INDEPENDENT of m_A at $\begin{cases}
 A(\tan \beta_c, \lambda_c, \overline{m}_h^2) = 0 \\
 B(\tan \beta_c, \lambda_c, \overline{m}_h^2) = 0
 \end{cases}$
- Moreover (analytically) when the lightest eigenvalue is independent of m_A , α is independent as well.
- Since independent of m_A , the particular case $m_A \to \infty$ is included, i.e. $\alpha = \beta - \pi/2$

Signal strengths $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX}$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to \mathcal{H})BR(\mathcal{H} \to XX)}{[\sigma(pp \to h)BR(h \to XX)]_{SM}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX}^{(ggF)} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX}^{(\mathcal{H}tt)} = \frac{r_{\mathcal{H}tt}^2 \ r_{\mathcal{H}XX}^2}{\mathcal{D}} \ , \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX}^{(VBF)} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX}^{(V\mathcal{H})} = \frac{r_{\mathcal{H}WW}^2 \ r_{\mathcal{H}XX}^2}{\mathcal{D}}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = BR(h \to b \ b)_{SM} \ r_{\mathcal{H}bb}^2 + BR(h \to gg, cc)_{SM} \ r_{\mathcal{H}tt}^2 + BR(h \to \tau\tau)_{SM} \ r_{\mathcal{H}\tau\tau}^2 + BR(h \to WW, ZZ)_{SM} \ r_{\mathcal{H}WW}^2$$

- No extra inv. width, i.e. $m_{\chi_0}\gtrsim m_{\mathcal{H}}/2$
- sbottom-gluino may correct r_{hbb} $(M_3 = 1 \text{ TeV}, m_{\widetilde{b}} = 700 \text{ GeV})$

$$m_A = 140 \text{ GeV}, \ \mu = \mu_{\Sigma} = 250 \text{ GeV}, \ m_{\chi^{\pm}} = 104 \text{ GeV}$$





$$m_A = 140 \text{ GeV}, \ \mu = \mu_{\Sigma} = 250 \text{ GeV}, \ m_{\chi^{\pm}} = 104 \text{ GeV}$$







Mini Summary

Exp. results on Higgs decays are hinting to SM-like values. If confirmed with much better accuracy, they still don't imply $m_A \gg m_h$

For instance in the TMSSM there exists a parameter region where

- we have both $m_h\approx 126\,{\rm GeV}$ and SM-like h decay independently of $m_A;$
- *H* production is suppressed

To probe the scenario, present LHC studies on A and H^{\pm} decays need to be improved (in the TMSSM these limits are \lesssim MSSM or NMSSM ones).

In this direction: Bandyopadhyay&Huitu&Sabanci'13, Bandyopadhyay&Di Chiara&al,'14

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



Case 2

- Diphoton enhancement highlighted before
- $\bullet~{\rm Correlation}$ with $h\to Z\gamma$
- Imposing DM

Delgado, GN, Quiros, ArXiv:1207.6596 Arina, GN, Martin-Lozano, ArXiv:1403.6434

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

reatures at larg

Enhancement in $h \to \gamma \gamma$

Signal strength
$$\mathcal{R}_{\gamma\gamma}$$
 = $B\mathcal{R}(h \to \gamma\gamma)/L\mathcal{R}(h \to \gamma\gamma)/L\mathcal{R}(h \to \gamma\gamma)/\mathcal{R}(h \to$

- ATLAS and CMS: both have (small) excesses
- Loop-induced process which is sensitive to new charged particles
- New triplet charged fermion can enhance $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ ($\lesssim 1.2$ via MSSM charginos and $\tan \beta \sim 1$; e.g. Casas,Moreno,Rolbiecki,Zaldivar '13)
- As no (large) modifications in the Higgs production exist for $m_A \rightarrow \infty$, the diphoton enhancement is (easily implemented from the QED eff.pot. Ellis&al,79; Shifman&al,79; Carena&al,12)

$$R_{\gamma\gamma} = \left| 1 + \frac{A_{\tilde{\chi}\pm}^{\gamma\gamma}}{A_W^{\gamma\gamma} + A_t^{\gamma\gamma}} \right|^2$$

Germano Nardini

Triplet extension of the MSSM

ロト (日) (モ) (モ) (モ)

Enhancement in $h\to\gamma\gamma$

Signal strength $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma\gamma} = [-BR(h \to \gamma\gamma)/BR(h \to \gamma\gamma)_{SM}]$

$$A_{\widetilde{\chi}_{1,2,3}^{\pm}}^{\gamma\gamma} = \left(-\frac{4}{3}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \log v} \log(\det M_{\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm}}^{tree})$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}}^{tree} = \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & gv\sin\beta & 0\\ gv\cos\beta & \mu & -\lambda v\sin\beta\\ 0 & \lambda v\cos\beta & \mu_{\Sigma} \end{pmatrix} , \quad UM_{\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}}V^{\dagger} = diag$$

• As no (large) modifications in the Higgs production exist for $m_A \rightarrow \infty$, the diphoton enhancement is (easily implemented from the QED eff.pot. Ellis&al,79; Shifman&al,79; Carena&al,12)

$$R_{\gamma\gamma} = \left| 1 + \frac{A_{\tilde{\chi}_{1,2,3}}^{\gamma\gamma}}{A_W^{\gamma\gamma} + A_t^{\gamma\gamma}} \right|^2$$

Germano Nardini

Triplet extension of the MSSM

★ E ► ★ E ►

Features at large m_A

Enhancement in $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$



Correlation $h \to \gamma \gamma$ vs $h \to Z \gamma$

Signal strength
$$\mathcal{R}_{Z\gamma}$$
 = $B\bar{n}(h \to Z\gamma)/B\bar{n}(h \to Z\gamma)_{SN}$

$$A_{\tilde{\chi}_{1,2,3}^{\pm}}^{Z\gamma} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \frac{g_2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{\pm}}}{g_1 m_Z} g_{Z\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{-}} f\left(m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{k}^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{k}^{\pm}}\right) g_{h\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{-}}$$

- Weak bounds by LHC but planned improvements
- \bullet Typically correlated to $h \to \gamma \gamma$
- TMSSM chargino sector should play a role (as for $R_{\gamma\gamma}$)
- Less transpartent expression because no applicable low-energy limit

$$R_{\gamma\gamma} = \left| 1 + \frac{A_{\tilde{\chi}_{1,2,3}}^{Z\gamma}}{A_W^{Z\gamma} + A_t^{Z\gamma}} \right|^2$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Correlation } h \to \gamma \gamma \quad \text{vs } h \to Z \gamma \qquad \left(m_{\chi_1^{\pm}} \gtrsim 100 \text{ GeV} \right) \\ \hline \\ \text{Signal strength } \mathcal{R}_{Z\gamma} \qquad \left[-\Delta R(h \to Z\gamma) / \Delta R(h \to Z\gamma) \infty \right] \\ \\ A_{\tilde{\chi}_{1,2,3}}^{Z\gamma} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \frac{g_2 \, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{\pm}}}{g_1 \, m_Z} g_{Z\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{i}^{-}} \, f\left(m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{k}^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{k}^{\pm}} \right) g_{h\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{i}^{-}} \end{array}$$



Germano Nardini

Triplet extension of the MSSM

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Correlation } h \to \gamma \gamma \quad \text{vs } h \to Z \gamma \qquad \left(m_{\chi_1^{\pm}} \gtrsim 100 \text{ GeV} \right) \\ \\ \text{Signal strength } \mathcal{R}_{Z\gamma} \qquad \left[= BR(h \to Z\gamma)/BR(h \to Z\gamma)_{\text{SM}} \right] \\ \\ A_{\tilde{\chi}_{1,2,3}}^{Z\gamma} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \frac{g_2 \, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{\pm}}}{g_1 \, m_Z} g_{Z\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{i}^{-}} \, f\left(m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{k}^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{k}^{\pm}} \right) g_{h\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{i}^{-}} \end{array}$$



Germano Nardini

Triplet extension of the MSSM

Phenomenology Features at large m_A

Correlation $h \to \gamma \gamma$ vs $h \to Z \gamma$ $(m_{\chi^{\pm}} \gtrsim 100 \,\text{GeV})$

$$\mathsf{BR}(h \to \chi_1^0 \chi_1^0)$$

$$\Gamma(h \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \frac{G_F m_W^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} m_h \left(1 - \frac{4m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2}{m_h^2}\right)^{3/2} g_{h11}^2$$



Again $h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$



 $R_{\gamma\gamma} < 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 R_{\gamma\gamma} > 1.4$



Features at large m_A

If DM is the Bino-like neutralino

(LUX bound)



(dangerous) SI cross section is dominated by Higgs interchange $(g_{h\tilde{\chi}_1^0\chi_1^0})$

For a given parameter set, LUX \Rightarrow lower bound on μ \Rightarrow upper bound on $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $R_{Z\gamma}$

Features at large m_A

If DM is the Bino-like neutralino

(well-tempered)



Good relic density if

Branch 1: Triplino-Bino coannihilation (driven by gauge interactions) *Branch 2:* Higgs/Z resonance

Features at large m_A

If DM is the Bino-like neutralino



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3



LUX goes better when diphoton enhancement is smaller

Germano Nardini Triplet extension of the MSSM

Features at large m_A

If DM is the Bino-like neutralino



(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)



Quantitatively, how much $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ (and $R_{Z\gamma}$) is allowed by LUX?

If DM is the Bino-like neutralino

Framework is like well-tempered neutralino: Ω_{DM} relies on SM + ewkinos If A or sparticles are light, there are potential new channels.

Observable	Measured/Limit		
σ_{Xe}^{SI}	LUX (90% CL)		
$\Omega_{\rm DM} h^2$	0.1186 ± 0.0031 (exp) $\pm 20\%$ (theo)		
m_h	$125.85 \pm 0.4 \text{ GeV} (\text{exp}) \pm 3 \text{ GeV} (\text{theo})$		
$\Gamma_Z^{\text{invisible}}$	(166 ± 2) MeV		
$m_{\tilde{t}_1}$	>650 GeV (LHC 90% CL)		
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}$	>104 GeV (LEP 95% CL)		

Features at large m_A

If DM is the Bino-like neutralino



Germano Nardini Triplet extension of the MSSM

Conclusion

- Triplet extension alleviates the fine-tuninig with respect to the MSSM
- **2** SM-like Higgs signatures do NOT imply large m_A
- (3) If the dominant channels are SM-like, TMSSM chargino sector can provide $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $R_{Z\gamma}$ are large as
 - 1.6 and 1.4 (no DM)
 - 1.3 and 1.2 (with DM)
- $\ \, {\bf G} \ \, R_{\gamma\gamma} \ \, {\rm and} \ \, R_{Z\gamma} \ \, {\rm are \ strongly \ correlated}$
- Concerning well tempering, TMSSM DM easier than MSSM DM from top-down approach
- Open issues: LHC bounds? EWino composition via ILC? De Blas,Delgado,Ostdiek,Quiros,'14 Moortgat-Pick,Porto,Rolbiecki,'14

A D A A B A A B A A B A