

## Associated Production for the Standard Model Higgs at CDF

### D. Bortoletto Purdue University

### •Outline:

- •Higgs at the Tevatron
  - $\bullet \text{WH} {\rightarrow} \, \ell \nu \text{ bb}$
  - ZH  $\rightarrow \ell \ell$  bb
  - $\bullet$  WH and ZH  $\rightarrow$  met bb
- Outlook













Strategy:

- Optimize lepton selection to improve  $Z \rightarrow \ell \ell$  acceptance by 70%
- -Improve S/B :
  - Two loose b-tag: 50% efficient, 1.5% fake rate
  - One tight b-tag: 40% efficient, 0.5% fake rate
- Improve mass resolution
  - Use MET to improve mass resolution using NN since no real MET expected











## Signal region



CDF Run II Preliminary Ldt = 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> - Single Tag



Expected: 101.6 ±17.8 Data: 100 events





Jet Liferyy Scale

Total systematic shift in  $\sigma$ ~ 0.19 pb





# **NN Tagging**



- Apply neural net b-tagger on the information of SECVTX to improve the separation between b-jets and c-jets or light-jets
- NN input: Lxy significance, vertex mass, pseudo-ct...



Keeping 90% of true b-jets, 65% of I-jets and 50% of c-jets are removed!

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Results WH→ℓvbb

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

### At least 2 b-tagging (double tag) NN b-tagging is NOT applied

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### ≥2 SECVTX without NN

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Systematic Uncertainty**

Source	Uncertainty (%)			
	= 1 tag w / NN tag	$\geq 2 tag$		
Lepton ID	$\sim 2\%$			
Trigger	< 1%			
ISR	1.8%	4.3%		
FSR	3.2%	8.6%		
PDF	1.7%	2.0%		
JES	2.3%	3.0%		
b-tagging	5.3%	16%		
Total	7.2%	19.1%		

Higgs Mass	Upper Li	imit (pb)
$(\text{GeV}/\text{c}^2)$	Observed	Expected
110	3.9	2.2
115	3.4	2.2
120	2.5	2.0
130	1.6	1.8
140	1.4	1.7
150	1.3	1.5

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

# $W/Z \rightarrow MET and H \rightarrow bb$

 $Z^*$ 

Η

This final state can be used to search for:

 $ZH \to v \overline{v} b b$  $WH \to \ell \, \overline{v} b \overline{b}$ 

- Signal has a distinctive topology
  - Two jets
    - |η|<2.0 and one |η|<0.9</li>
    - ET,jet1 > 35 GeV
    - ET,jet2 > 25 GeV
    - ΔR(j1,j2)>1.0
    - No other jets with ET> 20 GeV,  $|\eta|{<}2.0$
  - Large MET (>50 GeV)
  - b-jets (use b-tagging)

![](_page_11_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

Results

Tight SECVTX applied to this analysis both for single and double tagging

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

Sensitivity Optimization performed in extended signal region:

- E<sub>T.1</sub> > 60 GeV and φ(E<sub>T.1</sub>, MET) > 0.8
- MÉT > 70 GeV
- H<sub>τ</sub> =scalar sum of the jet Et-s
- Missing H<sub>T</sub> (vectorial sum of jet Et-s)
- Missing H<sub>T</sub> / H<sub>T</sub> > 0.45

![](_page_13_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

Systematic uncertainties:

B-tagging: 8.6 % for double tags

Jet energy scale: 7%-26%

Luminosity: 6%

Z/W + heavy flavor normalization: 40%

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

Mass (GeV)	R <sub>expected</sub> /σ×BR ZH	R <sub>expected</sub> /σ×BR WH	R <sub>expected</sub> /σ×BR VH	R <sub>observed</sub> /σ×BR VH
110	<b>22.5</b> / 2.2 pb	<b>27.9</b> / 4.6 pb	<b>12.4</b> (+5.1 / -4.0) / 3.3 pb	<b>18.9</b> / 5.0 pb
115	<b>28.0</b> / 2.2 pb	<b>33.0</b> / 4.5 pb	<b>14.4</b> (+7.0 / -4.7) / 3.1 pb	<b>22.0</b> / 4.7 pb
120	<b>28.3</b> / 1.8 pb	<b>37.8</b> / 4.1 pb	16.4 (+7.1 / -4.7) / 2.8 pb	<b>25.1</b> / 4.3 pb
125	<b>33.1</b> / 1.6 pb	<b>46.5</b> / 3.9 pb	<b>20.7</b> (+8.2 / -6.1) / 2.8 pb	<b>32.4</b> / 4.3 pb
130	<b>42.0</b> / 1.6 pb	<b>58.3</b> / 3.7 pb	24.8 (+8.6 / -7.1) / 2.5 pb	<b>40.4</b> / 4.1 pb
135	<b>55.1</b> / 1.5 pb	<b>76</b> / 3.4 pb	<b>32.6</b> (+15.2 / -10.3) / 2.4 pb	<b>51.9</b> / 3.8 pb
140	<b>75.3</b> / 1.4 pb	<b>104.7</b> / 3.2 pb	<b>44.9</b> (+18.2 / -13.5) / 2.2 pb	<b>67.3</b> / 3.4 pb

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

200

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Backup slides

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Results WH→ℓvbb**

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

### =1 SECVTX with NN tagging

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

Expected 394.4 ± 66.6 Observed 421

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Backgrounds

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Single tag CR1: Dominated by QCD and mistags

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Signal Region

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

S/B Optimization is performed in extended signal region

Jet energy

**Missing ET** 

HT =scalar sum of the jet Et-s

#### Missing HT (vectorial sum of jet Et-s)

![](_page_22_Figure_8.jpeg)

•  $\phi(1^{st}$  Jet, Missing  $E_{\tau}) > 0.8$ 

- Missing E<sub>T</sub> > 70 GeV
- Missing H<sub>T</sub> / H<sub>T</sub> > 0.45
- $1^{st}$  Jet  $E_{\tau} > 60$  GeV

![](_page_22_Figure_13.jpeg)