# Measurements of $\alpha$ at BaBar

Mark Allen, SLAC for the BaBar Collaboration July 20, 2007 EPS Manchester, UK









Measurements of  $\alpha$ 





EPS 2007



 $\rightarrow \pi \pi$ 





EPS 2007



Isospin Analysis:  $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ ,  $\rho \rho$ 



$$\Delta \alpha = \alpha - \alpha_{eff}$$

$$A^{+0} \equiv A(B^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0)$$

$$A^{+-} \equiv A(B^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-)$$

$$A^{00} \equiv A(B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0)$$

$$A^{00} \equiv$$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A^{+-} + A^{00} = A^{+0}$ 

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{A}^{+-} + \bar{A}^{00} = \bar{A}^{-0}$ 

The key observation:  $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0}$ is a purely tree decay (no  $\Delta I = 1/2$  amplitude) So (after a rotation):  $\bar{A}(B^{-} \to \pi^{-} \pi^{0}) = A(B^{+} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{0})$ 

> [M. Gronau and D. London, Phys Rev. Lett. 65, 3381 (1990)]

Eight-fold ambiguity in  $\alpha$ .



 $\mathrm{B}^{0} \longrightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ 

PRL: 99, 021603 (2007)





- Events: 1139 ± 49
- $C(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = -0.21 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02$
- $S(B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = -0.60 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.03$







## Isospin Triangle: $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$

8



- Confidence Levels calculated using toy method.
- $25^{\circ} < \alpha < 66^{\circ}$  excluded at 90% C.L.
- Blue line: Gronau & London method
- Grey shade: L&G after requirement on size of penguin amplitude. [UTFit Collaboration, M. Bona et al, hep-ph/0701204, to appear in PRD]
- $B \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- BR$ : **PRD 75 (2007) 012008**







×ρρ





EPS 2007



 $\rightarrow \rho \rho$ 



Much like  $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ :

- Two triangle formulation same.
- Advantage:
  - Can do time dependent CP measurement on ρ<sup>0</sup> ρ<sup>0</sup> mode. (4-fold ambiguity)
  - SU(3) arguments ( $B \rightarrow K^* \rho$ )
- Disadvantage:
  - $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$  small
  - Longitudinal/Transverse components of differing CP.







BABAR

Events / 2 ps

Events / 2 ps

Asymmetry

Submitted to PRD: arXiv 0705.2157 [hep-ex]

- •ML fit variables:
  - m<sub>ES</sub>,  $\Delta E$ , NN, Helicity,  $\rho$  mass  $\Delta t$
- •Largest Systematic Errors:
  - Self cross-feed fraction (76 events)
  - PDF parameterization (+23/-41 events)

- Events:  $729 \pm 60^{+94}_{-102}$
- BR( $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$ ) = (25.5 ± 2.1<sup>+3.6</sup><sub>-3.9</sub>)×10<sup>-6</sup>
- $C(B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-) = 0.01 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.06$

• 
$$S(B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-) = -0.17 \pm 0.20^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$$

•  $f_L = 0.992 \pm 0.024 \, {}^{+0.026}_{-0.013}$ 





 $B \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$ 

PRL 98, 111801 (2007)



#### • Events: $100 \pm 32 \pm 17$

- BR(B<sup>0</sup> $\rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$ ) = (1.07 ± 0.33 ± 0.19)×10<sup>-6</sup>
- $f_L = 0.87 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.04$
- •ML fit variables:
  - $m_{ES}$ ,  $\Delta E$ , NN, Helicity,  $\rho$  mass, tagging information
- •Largest Systematic Errors:
  - Interference with  $a_1^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ (14 events)
  - PDF parameters (10 Events)

### $3.5\sigma$ significance!









×ρπ





EPS 2007

14



 $B^0 \rightarrow (\rho \pi)^{\cup}$ 



#### Full Dalitz plot analysis!

Measure 26 separate Dalitz parameters (Bilinear coefficients, U's and I's)





$$f_{Qtag} \propto (1 - A_{\rho\pi}) \times [1 + Q_{tag} (S \pm \Delta S) \sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t) - Q_{tag} (C \pm \Delta C) \cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t)]$$

- $Q_{tag} = 1(-1)$  for  $B^0(\overline{B}^0)$  tag
- $A_{\rho\pi}$ : Time & flavor integrated charge asymmetry
- S, C: Mixing induced CP parameters (related to α)
- $\Delta C$ : asymmetry between:  $\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \pi^-) + \Gamma(\overline{B}{}^0 \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+) \&$  $\Gamma(B^0 \rightarrow \rho^- \pi^+) + \Gamma(\overline{B}{}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \pi^-)$
- $\Delta S$ : related to strong phase differences among amplitudes
- $\Delta C$ ,  $\Delta S$ : not sensitive to CP violation

 $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^0$ : CP eigenstate EPS 2007



 $B^0 \rightarrow (\rho \pi)^0$ 



To appear in PRD:hep-ex/0703008

Ч. С

$$\begin{split} N(B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}) &= 2067 \pm 86 \\ A_{\rho\pi} \left(\rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}\right) &= -0.14 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.02 \\ C \left(\rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}\right) &= 0.15 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.05 \\ S \left(\rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}\right) &= -0.03 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.04 \\ \Delta C \left(\rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}\right) &= 0.39 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09 \\ \Delta S \left(\rho^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}\right) &= -0.01 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.06 \\ C_{00} \left(\rho^{0} \pi^{0}\right) &= -0.10 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.53 \\ S_{00} \left(\rho^{0} \pi^{0}\right) &= 0.02 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.09 \end{split}$$

Amplitudes expressed as a sum of Isospin related Tree and Penguin

$$\begin{array}{l} A^{+} = A(B^{0} \rightarrow \rho^{+} \pi^{-}) \\ A^{\kappa} = T^{\kappa} e^{-i\alpha} + P^{\kappa}; \kappa = \{+, -, 0\} \\ (q/p)\overline{A}^{\kappa} = T^{\overline{\kappa}} e^{+i\alpha} + P^{\overline{\kappa}} \end{array}$$

 $\alpha$  over-constrained: 9 free parameters, 26 parameters fit. Constraints on  $\alpha$  from a least squares minimization 1 87° 0.75 0.5 0.25 0 50 74° 100 132°150 0

 $\alpha$  (deg)



 $B \rightarrow a_1 \pi$ , SU(3)







 $B^0 \rightarrow a_1^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} \& SU(3)$ 



#### Getting to $\alpha$

- "Isospin pentagon" not effective.
- Measure  $\alpha_{eff}$ , with  $B^0 \rightarrow a_1^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ and limits on  $|\Delta \alpha| = |\alpha_{eff} - \alpha|$  from SU(3) related decays:  $B^0 \rightarrow a_1^{\pm} K^{\mp}, B^0 \rightarrow K_{1A}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}.$
- $K_{1A}^+$  :SU(3) partner of  $a_1^+$ 
  - Nearly equal admixture of K<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup>(1270) & K<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup>(1400)
- [Gronau & Zupan, PRD **73**, 057502 (2006)]

#### Similar to $B \rightarrow \rho \pi$ :

- Not a CP eigenstate
- Quasi-2 body approach.

•BR: $(B \rightarrow K_1^+(1270) \pi^-) =$ (12.0 ± 3.1<sup>+9.3</sup><sub>-4.5</sub>)×10<sup>-6</sup> (< 25.2 ×10<sup>-6</sup> @ 90% C.L.) •BR: $(B \rightarrow K_1^+(1400) \pi^-) =$ (16.7 ± 2.6<sup>+3.5</sup><sub>-5.0</sub>)×10<sup>-6</sup> (< 21.8 ×10<sup>-6</sup> @ 90% C.L.)

$$\alpha_{eff} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \arcsin\left(\frac{S_{a_1\pi} + \Delta S_{a_1\pi}}{\sqrt{1 - (C_{a_1\pi} + \Delta C_{a_1\pi})^2}}\right) + \arcsin\left(\frac{S_{a_1\pi} - \Delta S_{a_1\pi}}{\sqrt{1 - (C_{a_1\pi} - \Delta C_{a_1\pi})^2}}\right) \right]$$



 $B^0 \rightarrow a_1^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ 



CP:PRL 98 181803. BR: PRL 97, 051802 (2006).

Use ML fit to  $\Delta E$ , m<sub>ES</sub>, Fisher, m<sub>a1</sub>, Helicity,  $\Delta t$ 

 $N(B^{0} \rightarrow a_{1}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = 608 \pm 53$   $A(a_{1}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = -0.07 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$   $C(a_{1}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = -0.10 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.09$   $S(a_{1}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = 0.37 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.07$   $\Delta C(a_{1}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = 0.26 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.07$   $\Delta S(a_{1}^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = -0.14 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.06$ 

Largest systematic errors:

- PDF parameterization
- B background CP content
- B  $\rightarrow$  a<sub>2</sub>  $\pi$  contribution and interference

 $\alpha_{eff} = 78.6^{\circ} \pm 7.3^{\circ}$ 



## Conclusion



CKMFitter average  $\alpha$ **not** using direct measurements  $102^{\circ}^{+3^{\circ}}_{-12^{\circ}}$ .

CKMFitter average  $\alpha$ only using direct measurements  $82.5^{\circ} + 18^{\circ}_{-7.3^{\circ}}$ .

Thanks to the CKM Fitter group for the plot and numbers.



Mark T. Allen, SLAC

20



## Extra Slides





 $B \rightarrow K_1^{\pm} \pi$ 



•Events:  $K_1^+(1270) \pi^-$ : 109 ± 29 +85-38 •Events:  $K_1^+(1400) \pi^-: 318 \pm 46^{+56}_{-90}$ •BR:(B $\rightarrow$  K<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup>(1270)  $\pi$ <sup>-</sup>): (12.0  $\pm$  3.1 <sup>+9.3</sup>-4.5)×10<sup>-6</sup> (< 25.2) •BR:(B $\rightarrow$  K<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup>(1400)  $\pi$ <sup>-</sup>): (16.7 ± 2.6 <sup>+3.5</sup>-5.0)×10<sup>-6</sup> (< 21.8)





- •K<sub>1</sub>(1400) Submodes:
  - •K\*0(870)  $\pi^+$
- •Use ML fit to:
  - • $\Delta E$ , m<sub>ES</sub>, Fisher, m<sub>K1</sub>, Hel.
- •Main systematic: Interference