Dimitrios Karamitros

National Centre for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw.



PASCOS 2019, Manchester U. July 2 2019

In collaboration with L. Darmé, A. Hryczuk, L. Roszkowski

arXiv:1907.XXXXX

Outline

1 Introduction

- Why Particle Dark Matter
- The Dark Matter Particle

2 Freeze-in

- Standard Freeze-in
- IR and UV Freeze-in
- Forbidden Freeze-in
- IR and UV Forbidden Freeze-in
- Standard vs Forbidden Freeze-in

3 Higgs Portal

- The Model
- Relevant Processes
- Results



Introduction

1 Introduction

- Why Particle Dark Matter
- The Dark Matter Particle

2 Freeze-in

- Standard Freeze-in
- IR and UV Freeze-in
- Forbidden Freeze-in
- IR and UV Forbidden Freeze-in
- Standard vs Forbidden Freeze-in

3 Higgs Portal

- The Model
- Relevant Processes
- Results



Why Particle Dark Matter



E. Corbelli and P. Salucci, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 311 441 (2000),

arXiv:astro-ph/9909252.



M. Markevitch, ESA Spec. Publ. 604 (2006) 723, astro-ph/0511345. Clowe, Bradac, et. al. Astrophys. J. 648, L109 (2006), astro-ph/0608407



N. Aghanim et al. [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO].

• Stable or very slow decay rate.

- Stable or very slow decay rate.
- Electrically Neutral.

- Stable or very slow decay rate.
- Electrically Neutral.
- Non-Baryonic.

- Stable or very slow decay rate.
- Electrically Neutral.
- Non-Baryonic.
- Cold/Warm and non-relativistic today.

Freeze-in

Why Particle Dark Matter
 The Dark Matter Particle

2 Freeze-in

- Standard Freeze-in
- IR and UV Freeze-in
- Forbidden Freeze-in
- IR and UV Forbidden Freeze-in
- Standard vs Forbidden Freeze-in

3 Higgs Portal

- The Model
- Relevant Processes
- Results



Dark Matter production via Freeze-in: ¹

J. R. Ellis, J. E. Kim and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. 145B, 181 (1984).

L. Covi, H. B. Kim, J. E. Kim and L. Roszkowski, JHEP 0105, 033 (2001), hep-ph/0101009

L. J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell and S. M. West, JHEP 1003, 080 (2010), arXiv:0911.1120 [hep-ph]

F. Elahi, C. Kolda and J. Unwin, JHEP 1503, 048 (2015), arXiv:1410.6157 [hep-ph]

Dark Matter production via Freeze-in: ¹

• Dark Matter particle absent and out of thermal equilibrium.

J. R. Ellis, J. E. Kim and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. 145B, 181 (1984).

L. Covi, H. B. Kim, J. E. Kim and L. Roszkowski, JHEP 0105, 033 (2001), hep-ph/0101009

L. J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell and S. M. West, JHEP 1003, 080 (2010), arXiv:0911.1120 [hep-ph]

F. Elahi, C. Kolda and J. Unwin, JHEP 1503, 048 (2015), arXiv:1410.6157 [hep-ph]

Dark Matter production via Freeze-in: ¹

- Dark Matter particle absent and out of thermal equilibrium.
- Dark Matter particle produced from decays or annihilations of plasma particles (S).

J. R. Ellis, J. E. Kim and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. 145B, 181 (1984).

L. Covi, H. B. Kim, J. E. Kim and L. Roszkowski, JHEP 0105, 033 (2001), hep-ph/0101009

L. J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell and S. M. West, JHEP 1003, 080 (2010), arXiv:0911.1120 [hep-ph]

F. Elahi, C. Kolda and J. Unwin, JHEP 1503, 048 (2015), arXiv:1410.6157 [hep-ph]

Dark Matter production via Freeze-in: ¹

- Dark Matter particle absent and out of thermal equilibrium.
- Dark Matter particle produced from decays or annihilations of plasma particles (S).
- Number of particles stabilizes at $T_{FI}^{IR} \sim m_S$ or $T_{FI}^{UV} \sim T_{RH}$.

F. Elahi, C. Kolda and J. Unwin, JHEP 1503, 048 (2015), arXiv:1410.6157 [hep-ph]

J. R. Ellis, J. E. Kim and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. 145B, 181 (1984).

L. Covi, H. B. Kim, J. E. Kim and L. Roszkowski, JHEP 0105, 033 (2001), hep-ph/0101009

L. J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell and S. M. West, JHEP 1003, 080 (2010), arXiv:0911.1120 [hep-ph]

IR and UV Freeze-in

Consider χ the Dark Matter particle, and S in equilibrium with the plasma.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}} = -ySar{\chi}\chi$$
 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}} = -rac{1}{\Lambda}SSar{\chi}\chi$



Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea:



Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea: The plasma particles develop thermal masses

Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea: The plasma particles develop thermal masses \Rightarrow masses can be quite large

Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea: The plasma particles develop thermal masses \Rightarrow masses can be quite large \Rightarrow forbidden channels open-up at high temperatures.

Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea: The plasma particles develop thermal masses \Rightarrow masses can be quite large \Rightarrow forbidden channels open-up at high temperatures. **Production via Forbidden Freeze-in**:

Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea: The plasma particles develop thermal masses \Rightarrow masses can be quite large \Rightarrow forbidden channels open-up at high temperatures. **Production via Forbidden Freeze-in**:

• Dark Matter particle absent and out of thermal equilibrium.

Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea: The plasma particles develop thermal masses \Rightarrow masses can be quite large \Rightarrow forbidden channels open-up at high temperatures.

Production via Forbidden Freeze-in:

- Dark Matter particle absent and out of thermal equilibrium.
- Dark Matter particle produced from decays of plasma particles (*S*).

Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea: The plasma particles develop thermal masses \Rightarrow masses can be quite large \Rightarrow forbidden channels open-up at high temperatures.

Production via Forbidden Freeze-in:

- Dark Matter particle absent and out of thermal equilibrium.
- Dark Matter particle produced from decays of plasma particles (*S*).
- Production stops when the decay becomes forbidden.

Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea: The plasma particles develop thermal masses \Rightarrow masses can be quite large \Rightarrow forbidden channels open-up at high temperatures.

Production via Forbidden Freeze-in:

- Dark Matter particle absent and out of thermal equilibrium.
- Dark Matter particle produced from decays of plasma particles (*S*).
- Production stops when the decay becomes forbidden.
- "Freeze-in" temperature is $T_{FI}^{\rm IR} \sim m_{DM}$ or $T_{FI}^{\rm UV} \sim T_{RH}$.

Plasma particles produce Dark Matter via kinematically forbidden channels.

Idea: The plasma particles develop thermal masses \Rightarrow masses can be quite large \Rightarrow forbidden channels open-up at high temperatures.

Production via Forbidden Freeze-in:

- Dark Matter particle absent and out of thermal equilibrium.
- Dark Matter particle produced from decays of plasma particles (*S*).
- Production stops when the decay becomes forbidden.
- "Freeze-in" temperature is $T_{FI}^{\rm IR} \sim m_{DM}$ or $T_{FI}^{\rm UV} \sim T_{RH}$.

Behavior was noted in:

A. Strumia, JHEP **1006**, 036 (2010), arXiv:1003.5847 [hep-ph].

L. Bian and Y. L. Tang, JHEP **1812**, 006 (2018), arXiv:1810.03172 [hep-ph].

IR and UV Forbidden Freeze-in



Standard vs Forbidden Freeze-in

Comparing the two types of Freeze-in.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} \supset -y_{S\chi} \; S \; \bar{\chi}\chi \; &- \frac{\lambda}{4!} S^4 - \frac{1}{2} m_S^{0\,2} S^2 - m_\chi \bar{\chi}\chi \; + (\mathrm{SH-terms}), \\ m_S^2(T) \approx m_S^{0\,2} + \frac{\lambda}{24} T^2 \; . \end{split}$$



Higgs Portal

Introduction

Why Particle Dark MatterThe Dark Matter Particle

2 Freeze-in

- Standard Freeze-in
- IR and UV Freeze-in
- Forbidden Freeze-in
- IR and UV Forbidden Freeze-in
- Standard vs Forbidden Freeze-in

3 Higgs Portal

- The Model
- Relevant Processes
- Results



Assume Dark Matter (χ) a Dirac particle, and portal particle (S) that couples to the Higgs:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{DM}} = \bar{\chi} \left(i \gamma_{\mu} D^{\mu} - \mu_{\chi} \right) \chi + \frac{1}{2} (D^{\mu} S) (D_{\mu} S) - y_{XS} S \bar{\chi} \chi - V_{HS} ,$$

$$V_{HS} = \frac{\mu_{S}^{2}}{2} S^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{S}}{4!} S^{4} + A S H^{\dagger} H + \lambda_{HS} S^{2} H^{\dagger} H .$$
fter Electroweak phase transition $S \to S$, we ($v_{S} \ll v_{S}, v_{S$

After Electroweak phase transition $S \rightarrow S - v_S$ ($v_S \ll \mu_S, v$), and S and h mix by $\theta \ll 1$. This induces interaction of the form

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{YSM}} = heta rac{m_f}{v} \; S \; ar{f} f \; .$$

Relevant Processes

Dark Matter is produced by decays of S.



S is kept in equilibrium via its interactions with the SM.



Results

Searching for observed relic using MultiNest ²:



²F. Feroz, M. P. Hobson and M. Bridges Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **398**, 1601 (2009) [arXiv:0809.3437 [astro-ph]].

Summary

Introduction

Why Particle Dark MatterThe Dark Matter Particle

2 Freeze-in

- Standard Freeze-in
- IR and UV Freeze-in
- Forbidden Freeze-in
- IR and UV Forbidden Freeze-in
- Standard vs Forbidden Freeze-in

3 Higgs Portal

- The Model
- Relevant Processes
- Results



• General treatment of the Forbidden Freeze-in regime.

- General treatment of the Forbidden Freeze-in regime.
- Forbidden Freeze-in regime opens up a new (unexplored) region of the parameter space.

- General treatment of the Forbidden Freeze-in regime.
- Forbidden Freeze-in regime opens up a new (unexplored) region of the parameter space.
- UV Freeze-in from decays due to plasma effects.

- General treatment of the Forbidden Freeze-in regime.
- Forbidden Freeze-in regime opens up a new (unexplored) region of the parameter space.
- UV Freeze-in from decays due to plasma effects.
- Forbidden Freeze-in regime seems to be a generic feature of the Freeze-in mechanism.

- General treatment of the Forbidden Freeze-in regime.
- Forbidden Freeze-in regime opens up a new (unexplored) region of the parameter space.
- UV Freeze-in from decays due to plasma effects.
- Forbidden Freeze-in regime seems to be a generic feature of the Freeze-in mechanism.
- Easily manifested in a simple portal model, which may be probed by SHIP and FASER.

- General treatment of the Forbidden Freeze-in regime.
- Forbidden Freeze-in regime opens up a new (unexplored) region of the parameter space.
- UV Freeze-in from decays due to plasma effects.
- Forbidden Freeze-in regime seems to be a generic feature of the Freeze-in mechanism.
- Easily manifested in a simple portal model, which may be probed by SHIP and FASER.

Future direction:

• Study a lot of models under Forbidden Freeze-in.

Thank you



$$s = \frac{2\pi^2}{45}h(T) T^3$$

$$\delta_h = 1 + \frac{1}{3}\frac{d \log(h)}{d \log(T)}$$

$$H = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi^3}{45 m_P^2}g(T)} T^2$$

,

Standard Freeze-in

$$\begin{split} x &= \frac{m_S}{T} ,\\ \frac{dY_{\rm DM}}{dx} &= \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\chi}(m_S, m_{\chi})}{5.93 \times 10^{-19} \, {\rm GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \, {\rm GeV}}{m_S}\right)^2 \frac{K_1(x) x^3}{\sqrt{g} h} \, \delta_h ,\\ Y_{\rm DM,0}{}^{\rm UV} &\approx \frac{4^n n! (n+1)!}{2.3 \times 10^{-15} \, g_\star^{3/2}(T_{RH})} \left(\frac{{\rm GeV}}{m_S}\right) \left(\frac{m_S}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n} \frac{x_{RH}^{1-2n}}{2n-1} ,\\ Y_{\rm DM,0}{}^{\rm IR} &\approx \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\chi}(m_S, m_{\chi})}{1.6 \times 10^{-36} \, {\rm GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \, {\rm GeV}}{m_S}\right)^2 m_{\chi} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g} \, h}\right) \Big|_{x=\langle x \rangle} ,\\ \langle x \rangle &\equiv \frac{\int_0^\infty dx \, x^3 K_1(x) \times x}{\int_0^\infty dx \, x^3 K_1(x)} \approx 3.4 \, . \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} z &\equiv \frac{2m_{\chi}}{\alpha T} , \\ \Gamma_{\chi} &\sim \frac{\gamma s_{\chi}}{16\pi} m_{S} \left(\frac{m_{S}}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n} = \frac{\gamma s_{\chi}}{16\pi} \alpha^{2n+1} \left(\frac{T}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n} T , \\ \frac{dY_{\rm DM}}{dz} &= \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\chi}}{5.93 \times 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \,\mathrm{GeV}}{2m_{\chi}}\right)^{2} \frac{\alpha^{4} \,\mathcal{K}_{1}(\alpha)}{\sqrt{g} \,h} \delta_{h} \, z^{3} . \\ Y_{\rm DM,0}^{\rm UV} &= \frac{z_{\rm RH}^{1-2n} - 1}{2n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha^{4} \,\mathcal{K}_{1}(\alpha) \, \gamma s_{\chi}}{2.96 \times 10^{-17}}\right) \left(\frac{2m_{\chi}}{\Lambda}\right)^{2n} \\ \left(\frac{1 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}}{2m_{\chi} \, g_{\star}^{3/2}(T_{\rm RH})}\right) , \\ Y_{\rm DM,0} &= \left(\frac{\alpha^{2} \, y_{S\chi}}{7.1 \times 10^{-9}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{GeV}}{m_{\chi}}\right) \,\mathcal{K}_{1}(\alpha) \, \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g} \,h}\right)_{z=\langle z \rangle} , \\ \langle z \rangle &\equiv \frac{\int_{0}^{1} dz \, \left(1 - z^{2}\right)^{3/2} \times z}{\int_{0}^{1} dz \, \left(1 - z^{2}\right)^{3/2}} \approx 0.34 \, . \end{split}$$