Higgs Bosons in the pMSSM

SUSY 2014, 7/25/2014

Matthew Cahill-Rowley, JoAnne Hewett, Ahmed Ismail, Tom Rizzo

1206.5800, 1308.0297, To Appear

The p(henomenological) MSSM

- 19/20 parameter subspace of the MSSM (Neutralino/Gravitino LSP).
- Created by applying experimentally-motivated assumptions to the full MSSM lagrangian.
- "Unprejudiced" by assumptions about physics at high scales.
- Allows us to study correlations between very different observables (SUSY searches, Higgs measurements, DM, etc)

Our Methodology

General MSSM Lagrangian +

Minimal Flavor Violation No new CP phases Flavor-Diagonal Sparticle Mass Matrices 1st and 2nd generations degenerate R-parity Conservation

= 19/20 weak scale parameters

 $(M_1, M_2, M_3, \mu, \tan \beta, M_A, q_{1,3}, u_{1,3}, d_{1,3}, I_{1,3}, e_{1,3}, A_{t,b,\tau} + m_{3/2})$

- Randomly sample the 19/20-dimensional parameter space.
- Discard points excluded by non-LHC constraints (precision EW, heavy flavor, LEP limits, Direct Detection, Ω_{LSP}≤ Ω_{DM})
- Examine resulting model sets.

Parameter Scan Ranges

- Upper bound on masses chosen to test LHC reach.
- Log prior allows sampling of a wide range of gravitino mass scales.

 $50 \text{ GeV} \le |M_1| \le 4 \text{ TeV}$ 100 GeV \leq $|M_2, \mu| \leq$ 4 TeV $400 \text{ GeV} \le M_3 \le 4 \text{ TeV}$ $1 \leq \tan \beta \leq 60$ 100 GeV \leq M_A, I, e \leq 4 TeV 400 GeV \leq q₁, u₁, d₁ \leq 4 TeV 200 GeV \leq q₃, u₃, d₃ \leq 4 TeV $|A_{t,b,\tau}| \le 4 \text{ TeV}$ $1 \text{ eV} \le \text{m}_{3/2} \le 1 \text{ TeV}$ (log prior)

Calculating Model Properties

- Sparticle/Higgs spectrum from SOFTSUSY, cross-checked with SuSpect.
- Calculate sparticle decays with modified SUSY-HIT, supplemented with CalcHEP and MadGraph (multi-body decays for models with a G LSP).
- Calculate thermal relic density of LSP (if LSP is a neutralino) or NLSP (if LSP is a gravitino) with micrOMEGAs.
- Calculate light Higgs partial widths using HDECAY 5.11, normalize to HDECAY prediction for SM Higgs with the same mass

Model Constraints

- Precision EW constraints: g 2, Z invisible width, $\Delta \rho$
- Flavor constraints: $b \rightarrow s\gamma$, $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$, $B \rightarrow \tau v$
- Charged sparticle masses > 100 GeV
- Impose LHC stable particle, $\Phi \rightarrow \tau \tau$ constraints
- Require $\Omega_{LSP} \leq \Omega_{DM}$
- Model independent constraints from Direct Detection experiments (X LSP) or Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (G LSP)
- Require m_h = 126 +- 3 GeV (theory uncertainty dominant!)
- **Result: Two model samples**, divided by LSP type:
 - ~45k points with a neutralino LSP
 - ~21k points with a gravitino LSP

LHC SUSY Searches

- 37 LHC searches applied using PYTHIA/PGS package with custom analysis code.
- See the Monday talk on LHC pheno ("The LHC Confronts the pMSSM") for details.
- Jets+MET, 0I and 1I stop searches simulated at the 14 TeV LHC, using projections from ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-001, -002 and -004 (Jets+MET) and -011 (Stop searches)
- Luminosity scaling to extrapolate between 300 fb⁻¹ and 3 ab⁻¹ limits

Coupling Measurements

- Current Higgs measurements sensitive to few pMSSM models.
- Use 4 projected sensitivities as benchmarks:
 - □ 14 TeV LHC, 300 fb⁻¹ luminosity
 - □ 14 TeV LHC, 3 ab⁻¹ luminosity
 - □ 250, 500 GeV ILC, 500 fb⁻¹ luminosity ("ILC-500")
 - □ 250,500,1000 GeV ILC, 5.25 ab⁻¹ luminosity ("HL-ILC500")
- Examine constraints on individual couplings (allows direct comparison between SUSY search results and LHC/ILC Higgs measurements)
- Coupling extraction complicated for LHC! (Use global fit, assuming no new production modes and family universality)

h⁰ couplings

 Consider couplings of the light Higgs to bb, ττ, γγ, and gg, normalized to their SM values:

$$r_{XX} = \frac{BR(h^0 \to XX) \times \Gamma_{h^0}}{BR(h_{SM} \to XX) \times \Gamma_{h_{SM}}}$$

- Important corrections missing from Higgs couplings to vector bosons prevent their inclusion in this study.
- Important caveat: Theory uncertainties for the SM and MSSM Higgs couplings were not included in this analysis!

h⁰ Couplings: h-y-y

- Very light charged sparticles can modify the diphoton partial width. Smaller contributions can come from highly mixed stops (See Carena et. Al, 1303.4414).
- SUSY searches have a small impact on histogram shapes.



h⁰ Couplings: h-g-g

- Stop loop contribution is larger than for h-y-y coupling and has opposite sign.
- Depending on measured central value, HL-ILC could exclude essentially all of these models!



h⁰ Couplings: h-b-b

- Observable tree-level deviations from SM prediction (~5% for m_A=1 TeV)
- Very large (>100%) radiative corrections possible for nondecoupled sbottoms.



Neutralino LSP



Gravitino LSP

Sbottoms and the h-b-b coupling

4.5 ••• $m_h = 126 \pm 3 \text{ GeV}$ After current searches 4.0 After 14 TeV 300 fb-1 After 14 TeV 3000 fb\$^{-1} 3.5 3.0 r_{bb} 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 L 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 $m(\tilde{b}_1)$ (GeV) 13

Neutralino LSP

 Radiative corrections can be very large

 Corrections slowly decouple with increasing sbottom mass

 100%
corrections still allowed by SUSY
searches

h^o Couplings: h-т-т

- Same as h-b-b coupling at tree level.
- Radiative corrections are electroweak, and therefore weaker than for the h-b-b case.



h⁰ invisible width

- Bino-like neutralino can be lighter than $m_h/2 \rightarrow invisible$ decays.
- Neutralino LSP: Thermal freeze-out sets minimum hχχ coupling.
- Gravitino LSP: hχχ coupling can be very small without overclosing.



Constraining the $m_A - tan(\beta)$ plane



Conclusions

- Precision Higgs measurements at the LHC and ILC are a powerful and essential probe of the MSSM.
- Null SUSY search results have a small effect on the range of expected Higgs couplings (large deviations from SM prediction are still allowed).
- Combined search program provides many opportunities for discovery!

Backup Slides

- Precision Higgs measurements at the LHC and ILC are a powerful and essential probe of the MSSM.
- Null SUSY search results have a small effect on the range of expected Higgs couplings (large deviations from SM prediction are still allowed).

Diphoton signal strength



h⁰-t-t Coupling



Low-FT Distributions





Low-FT invisible width



Low-FT invisible width

Excluded Fractions For Models Surviving Current LHC Searches:

Channel	$300 {\rm ~fb^{-1} ~ LHC}$	$3 \text{ ab}^{-1} \text{ LHC}$	$500 {\rm GeV} {\rm ILC}$	HL 500 GeV ILC
$b\bar{b}$	$16.6\ (27.7,\ 0.5)$	33.4 (48.5, 5.5)	$78.4 \ (88.8, \ 49.1)$	91.1 (95.8, 77.3)
au au	0.7 (0.8, 2.9)	$3.1 \ (2.7, \ 5.7)$	11.5 (9.9, 11.9)	36.9(34.2, 32.9)
gg	$0.02 \ (0.04, \ 0.5)$	0.5 (0.6, 3.1)	$99.4 \ (99.7, \ 99.7)$	$100.0\ (100.0,\ 100.0)$
$\gamma\gamma$	$0.02 \ (0.07, \ 0)$	$0.02 \ (0.09, \ 0.2)$	$0.02 \ (0.07, \ 0)$	$0.1 \ (0.2, \ 0.6)$
Invisible	0 (0, 0)	$0 \ (0, \ 0)$	$0.01 \ (0.01, \ 6.2)$	$0.02 \ (0.01, \ 7.5)$
All	17.1 (28.2, 3.8)	34.9(49.6, 11.1)	99.8 (99.96, 99.92)	$100.0\ (100.0,\ 100.0)$