# Higher-order scalar interactions and SM vacuum stability

#### Marek Lewicki

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw

#### SUSY2014, 24 July 2014, Manchester

based on:

#### Z. Lalak, P. Olszewski and ML, JHEP05(2014)119

The project "International PhD Studies in Fundamental Problems of Quantum Gravity and Quantum Field Theory" is realized within the MPD programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund







э.

#### SM Effective potential

Standard Model Effective potential

$$V_{SM}(\mu) = -rac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 + rac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 + \sum_i rac{n_i}{64\pi^2}M_i^4 \left[ \ln\left(rac{M_i^2}{\mu^2}
ight) - C_i 
ight]$$

For large field values  $m^2 << \phi^2$  and  $\mu = \phi$  the potential is very well approximated by

$$\begin{split} V_{SM}(\phi) &\approx \phi^4 \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{4} + \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left[ -6\left(\frac{g_2^2}{4}\right)^2 \left( \ln\left(\frac{g_2^2}{4}\right) - \frac{5}{6} \right) + 3\left(\frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{4}\right)^2 \left( \ln\left(\frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{4}\right) - \frac{5}{6} \right) \right. \\ &\left. -12\left(\frac{y_t^2}{2}\right)^2 \left( \ln\left(\frac{y_t^2}{2}\right) - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{3\lambda}{2}\right)^2 \left( \ln\left(\frac{3\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{3}{2} \right) + 3\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 \left( \ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{3}{2} \right) \right] \right\} \\ &\left. V_{SM}(\phi) \approx \frac{\lambda_{eff}(\phi)}{4} \phi^4 \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで





D. Buttazzo, et al. [arXiv:1307.3536]. G. Degrassi, et al. [arXiv:1205.6497].

#### Standard semiclassical formalism

- S. R. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 2929.
- C. G. Callan, Jr. and S. R. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 1762.

O(4) symmetric solution to euclidean equation of motion

$$\ddot{\phi} + \frac{3}{s}\dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi},$$
$$s = \sqrt{\vec{x}^2 + x_4^2}.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

with

• 
$$\dot{\phi}(s=0)=0$$
 at the true vacuum  
•  $\phi(s=\infty)=\phi_{min}$  at the false vacuum

# Tunneling

Action of the bounce solution

$$S_{E} = \int d^{4}x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \left( \frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \right)^{2} + V(\phi(\mathbf{x})) \right\}$$
$$= 2\pi^{2} \int dss^{3} \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}(s) + V(\phi(s)) \right),$$

allows us to calculate decay probability dp of a volume  $d^3x$ 

$$dp = dt d^{3} \times \frac{S_{E}^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} \left| \frac{det'[-\partial^{2} + V''(\phi)]}{det[-\partial^{2} + V''(\phi_{0})]} \right|^{-1/2} e^{-S_{E}}$$

Simplifying

- ullet normalisation factor replaced with width of the barrier  $\propto \phi_0$
- size of the universe is  $T_U = 10^{10}$  yr

we can calculate the lifetime of the false vacuum ( $p(\tau) = 1$ )

$$\frac{\tau}{T_U} = \frac{1}{\phi_0^4 T_U^4} e^{S_E}.$$

K. M. Lee and E. J. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. B 267 (1986) 181.

Quartic potential :

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 \implies S_E = \frac{8\pi^2}{3|\lambda|}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

for  $\lambda < 0$ .

# Standard Model

Approximating by a quartic potential:

$$\frac{\tau}{T_U} = \frac{1}{\phi^4(\lambda_{\min})T_U^4} e^{\frac{8\pi^2}{\Im|\lambda_{\min}|}} \approx 10^{540}.$$

lifetime is minimal for  $\phi$  that minimizes  $\lambda_{eff}(\phi)$ .



## Effective potential with nonrenormalisable interactions

We add new nonrenormalisable couplings (similar to V. Branchina and E. Messina, [arXiv:1307.5193].)

$$V \approx \frac{\lambda_{eff}(\phi)}{4} \phi^4 + \frac{\lambda_6}{6!} \frac{\phi^6}{M_p^2} + \frac{\lambda_8}{8!} \frac{\phi^8}{M_p^4}$$

That modify the potential around the Planck scale:



Figure: effective potential with  $\lambda_6 = -1$  and  $\lambda_8 = 1$ .

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

### Standard Model with nonrenormalisable interactions

Using simple quartic potential approximation:

We minimize

$$4rac{V}{\phi^4} = \lambda^{SM}_{eff}(\phi) + 4rac{\lambda_6}{6!}rac{\phi^2}{M_
ho^2} + 4rac{\lambda_8}{8!}rac{\phi^4}{M_
ho^4}$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

# Numerical calculations

Equation we need to solve

$$\ddot{\phi} + \frac{3}{s}\dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi},$$

is an equation of motion of a particle in potential  $-V(\phi)$  with a "time" dependent friction  $\frac{3}{5}\dot{\phi}$ .



We used a simple Overshot Undershot algorithm

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Numerical vs Analytical



Figure: Decimal logatihm of lifetime of the universe in units of  $T_U$  as a function of the nonrenormalisable  $\lambda_6$  and  $\lambda_8$  couplings, calculated numerically (left panel) and analytically (right panel).

(日)、

э

# RG improvement

The correction to the running of the quatric Higgs coupling is of the form

$$\Delta eta_{\lambda} = rac{\lambda_6}{16\pi^2} rac{m^2}{M_
ho^2}.$$

One-loop beta functions of new couplings take the form

$$\begin{split} &16\pi^2\beta_{\lambda_6} &= \quad \frac{10}{7}\lambda_8\frac{m^2}{M^2} + 18\lambda_66\lambda - 6\lambda_6\left(\frac{9}{4}g_2^2 + \frac{9}{20}g_1^2 - 3y_t^2\right),\\ &16\pi^2\beta_{\lambda_8} &= \quad \frac{7}{5}28\lambda_6^2 + 30\lambda_86\lambda - 8\lambda_8\left(\frac{9}{4}g_2^2 + \frac{9}{20}g_1^2 - 3y_t^2\right), \end{split}$$



Figure: Example solution with  $\lambda_6(M_p) = -1$  and  $\lambda_8(M_p) = -0.1$ 

# Numerical vs Analytical again



Figure: Decimal logatihm of lifetime of the universe in units of  $T_U$  as a function of the nonrenormalisable  $\lambda_6(M_p)$  and  $\lambda_8(M_p)$  couplings, calculated numerically (left panel) and analytically (right panel).

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

э



Figure: Contours corresponding to metastability boundary ( $\tau = T_u$ ) obtained using four different methods.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

## SM phase diagram



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

- Analytical approximation of vacuum lifetime is fairly accurate
- RG improvement stabilizes significant parts of the parameter space
- Standard Model vacuum lifetime can be significantly changed by high energy new physics

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Analytical solutions for simple potentials

K. M. Lee and E. J. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. B 267 (1986) 181.

Quartic potential:

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad S_E = \frac{8\pi^2}{3|\lambda|}$$

for  $\lambda < 0$ .

Quartic and linear potential :

$$V_{\eta}(\phi) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^{4} , & \phi \leq \eta \\ \frac{\lambda}{4}\eta^{4} - \mathcal{K}(\phi - \eta) , & \phi > \eta \end{cases}, \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \begin{aligned} S_{\mathcal{E}} &= \frac{8\pi^{2}}{3|\lambda|}(1 - (\gamma + 1)^{4}) \\ & \gamma = \frac{|\lambda|\eta^{3}}{\mathcal{K}} \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

for  $\lambda < 0$  and  $-1 < \gamma < 0$ 

# Standard Model with nonrenormalisable interactions

Approximating by quartic and linear potential

$$rac{ au}{ au_U} = rac{1}{\eta^4 \, T_U^4} e^{rac{8\pi^2}{3|\lambda(\eta)|}(1-(\gamma+1)^4)},$$

with:  $\lambda(\eta) = 4 \frac{V(\eta)}{\phi^4} = \lambda_{eff}^{SM}(\eta) + 4 \frac{\lambda_6}{6!} \frac{\eta^2}{M_\rho^2} + 4 \frac{\lambda_8}{8!} \frac{\eta^4}{M_\rho^4}.$ 

We still have to chose  $\eta$ :



 $(1 - (\gamma + 1)^4) = 0.994027$  $\log_{10}(\frac{\tau}{T_U}) = -178.4$ 

 $(1 - (\gamma + 1)^4) = 0.999999$  $\log_{10}(\frac{\tau}{T_{\mu}}) = -181.4$ 

The difference comes from our arbitrary choice of  $\eta$ , the factor  $(1 - (\gamma + 1)^4)$  is always negligible.

#### Standard Model with nonrenormalisable interactions

Using simpler quartic potential approximation:

We minimize

$$4\frac{V}{\phi^4} = \lambda_{eff}^{SM}(\phi) + 4\frac{\lambda_6}{6!}\frac{\phi^2}{M_\rho^2} + 4\frac{\lambda_8}{8!}\frac{\phi^4}{M_\rho^4}$$



$$\log_{10}(\frac{\tau}{T_{II}}) = -189.6$$

## Magnitude of the suppression scale

Approximate lifetime:

$$\frac{\tau}{T_U} = \frac{1}{\mu^4(\lambda_{\min})T_U^4} e^{\frac{8\pi^2}{3|\lambda_{\min}|}}$$

Positive  $\lambda_6$  and  $\lambda_8 \rightarrow$  stabilizing the potential



Figure: Scale dependence of  $\frac{\lambda_{eff}}{4} = \frac{V}{\phi^4}$  with  $\lambda_6 = \lambda_8 = 1$  for different values of suppression scale *M*. The lifetimes corresponding to suppression scales  $M = 10^8, 10^{12}, 10^{16}$  are, respectively,  $\log_{10}(\frac{\tau}{T_U}) = \infty, 1302, 581$  while for the Standard Model  $\log_{10}(\frac{\tau}{T_U}) = 540$ .

# Magnitude of the suppression scale

Positive  $\lambda_8$  and negative  $\lambda_6 \rightarrow New$  Minimum



Figure: Scale dependence of  $\frac{\lambda_{eff}}{4} = \frac{V}{\phi^4}$  with  $\lambda_6 = -1$  and  $\lambda_8 = 1$  for different values of suppression scale M. The lifetimes corresponding to suppression scales  $M = 10^8, 10^{12}, 10^{16}$ , are, respectively,  $\log_{10}(\frac{\tau}{T_U}) = -45, -90, -110$  while for the Standard Model  $\log_{10}(\frac{\tau}{T_U}) = 540$ .