T2K experiment: status, progress, and plans

Istvan Danko University of Pittsburgh on behalf of the T2K collaboration



The 11th Int. Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics Manchester, UK Sept 13-17, 2010

The University of Manchester

Outline

- Neutrino oscillation status and goals
- T2K experiment design and concept
- T2K experiment components
- T2K first physics run: Jan-June 2010
- Future prospects and plans



Neutrino mixing/oscillation status

 3-flavor mixing describes (almost) all neutrino oscillation phenomena (3 mixing angles, 2 independent mass splittings, 1 CPV phase)



T2K (Tokai-to-Kamioka) experiment

Main objectives:

- Measure/improve limit on θ_{13} ($v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$ appearance)
 - $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ would allow to explore CPV in leptonic sector

r, UK

• Improve measurement of Δm_{23}^2 and $\theta_{23}(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu} \text{ disappearance})$



Requirements:

- Very intense v beam
- Massive far detector
- Long baseline (295 km)
- Near detector (v flux and composition near source)
- Off-axis design
 - enhance sensitivity at oscillation maximum
 - reduce intrinsic background

Far detector:

SK IV (50 kton)

T2K off-axis concept

• T2K will be the first LBL v experiment using an off-axis beam



• The beam is aimed 2.5° off the direction of Super-Kamiokande



- This produces a narrow beam with peak energy (~600 MeV) tuned to first oscillation maximum ($\Delta m_{13}^2 L/4E_v \approx \pi/2$)
- Helps to eliminate high energy tail: reduced background from non-QE interactions and NC feed down from high energy v_µ

Two-body decay kinematics:

$$\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ V_{\mu}$$

$$E_{v} = \frac{m_{\pi}^{2} - m_{\mu}^{2}}{2(E_{\pi} - p_{\pi}\cos\vartheta)} \approx \frac{0.43m_{\pi}\gamma_{\pi}}{(1 + \gamma_{\pi}^{2}\vartheta^{2})}$$



T2K analysis strategy



E_v measurement

Charge Current Quasi-elastic interaction dominates at the T2K energy

I.Dan

• E_{v} can be reconstructed from the energy and angle of the charged lepton

$$E_{\nu} = \frac{m_N E_l - m_l^2/2}{m_N - E_l + p_l \cos\theta_l}$$



Accelerator facility

Neutrino beam line

Near detectors: ND280

On-Axis Neutrino Monitor (INGRID) Monitor: v beam profile and direction

7+7 modules of scintillator tracker and iron sandwich

Off-axis detector:

UA1 magnet: 0.2 T

P0D: pi0 detector to measure NC π° BG

Tracker:

3 TPCs, 2 FGDs charge partcicle p, θ , PID (dE/dx)

ECal: EM activity

SMRD: detect side muons

Installation completed in December 2009 (barrel ECal installation this Summer)

Detector commissioning finished January 2010

ND280: off-axis near detector

Pi0 detector (P0D): target 40 x-y scintillator planes (~10k scint. bars) Middle: scint+H₂O bags (11t) Front/back: calorimeter (veto and γ catcher) Pb+Scint (6.4t)

SMRD: μ range, veto cosmic trigger ~2k scint. counters (87x17x0.7 cm3)

Fine Grained Detectors (FGD):

x-y scintillator planes (~8.4k scint. bars) + H_2O (in FGD2)

I.Danko

Time Projection Chambers (TPC): wireless readout with MicroMegas (7x10mm² pads) ~124k channels $5\sigma e/\mu$ separation, $\sigma_p/p < 10\%$

Scintillator detectors read out via WLS fiber coupled to Si MPPC (667 pixel avalanche photodiode)

ECal: x-y fine grained Pb+Scint. (4x1 cm²) ~21k scint. bars (total) $\sigma E/E \sim 7.5\%/\sqrt{E}$

11

Far detector: Super Kamiokande IV

- 50 kton (22.5 kton fiducial) water Cherenkov det.
- ID: 11k 20" PMT (40% photo coverage); OD: 2k
 8" veto PMT (optically isolated from ID)
- New readout electronics and DAQ (no dead time) – improved decay-electron tagging
- GPS based event timing record events within 500 µs around spill
- Very efficient e/µ separation (~99% at 0.6 GeV)

Tau 2010, Manchester, U

I.Danko

First physics run

- January 23 June 26 2010
- Beam power: ~50 kW stable running (trial shots up to 100 kW)
- Total data accumulated: 3.3x10¹⁹ PoT

13

Beam monitors

INGRID

 Measures v-beam direction and profile using inclusive CC interaction rate in vertical and horizontal modules

ND280

600

400

200

3000

3500

4000

4500

5000

50

٥ł

-50

-100

5500 6000 6500 Signal timing [ns]

-100

-50

System	Channels	Bad chan.	Fraction
DSECAL	3400	11	0.3%
SMRD	4016	3	0.07%
POD	10400	7	0.07%
INGRID	8360	8	0.1%
TPC	124416	12	0.01%
FGD	8448	32	0.4%

Super Kamiokande

Fully contained (FC) candidates: 33

Fiducial volume & Evis>30 MeV: 23

Expected background < 10⁻² events

Unbiased even selection

Short and long term plans

2010 Summer/Fall shutdown

- New kicker magnets and power supplies: $6 \rightarrow 8$ bunch
- New horn power supplies: 250 kA \rightarrow 320kA
- Barrel ECal installation will be completed by October
- 2 INGRID diagonal modules
- Resume data taking in November
- aim 150 kW x 10⁷s integrated power by July 2010
- Long term plans:
- reduce MR cycle: 3.5 to 2.23 s
- increase LINAC energy: 181 to 400 MeV

Summary

- T2K: first high intensity, off-axis long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment
- New accelerator complex, beam line, and near detectors were finished last year
- First physics data taking concluded earlier this year data analysis is under way
- Continuous running resumes after the Summer shutdown in November
- Stay tuned: first physics results are expected soon

Extra slides

T2K collaboration

~500 members, 61 Institutes, 12 countries

Canada

TRIUMF U. Alberta U. B. Columbia U. Regina U. Toronto U. Victoria York U.

France

CEA Saclay IPN Lyon LLR E. Poly. LPNHE Paris

Germany

U. Aachen

Italy INFN, U. Roma INFN, U. Napoli INFN, U. Padova INFN, U. Bari

Japan

ICRR Kamioka ICRR RCCN KEK Kobe U.

Kobe U. Kyoto U. Miyagi U. Edu. Osaka City U. U. Tokyo A. Soltan, Warsaw H.Niewodniczanski, Cracow T. U. Warsaw U. Silesia, Katowice U. Warsaw U. Wroclaw

Poland

Russia INR

S. Korea N. U. Chonnam U. Dongshin U. Sejong N. U. Seoul U. Sungkyunkwan Spain IFIC, Valencia U. A. Barcelona

Switzerland U. Bern U. Geneva

ETH Zurich

United Kingdom Imperial C. London Queen Mary U. L. Lancaster U. Liverpool U. Oxford U. Sheffield U. Warwick U. STFC/RAL STFC/Daresbury

USA

Boston U. B.N.L. Colorado S. U. Duke U. Louisiana S. U. Stony Brook U. U. C. Irvine U. Colorado U. Pittsburgh U. Rochester U. Washington

Sensitivity for $\theta_{_{13}}$

Inverted hierarchy

as a fn of $\delta_{_{CP}}$ (normal hierarchy)

I.Danko

Tau 2010, Manchester, UK