

Gauge invariance in PT -symmetric scalar QED

J. Alexandre

King's College London

July 1, 2019

1. Non-Hermitian models and PT symmetry
2. Free coupled scalars
3. Gauged model

J. A, P. Millington and D. Seynaeve - PRD 2017

J. A, J. Ellis, P. Millington and D. Seynaeve - PRD 2018, PRD 2019

Non-Hermitian models and \mathcal{PT} symmetry

No need for Hermiticity, in order to have real energies

\mathcal{PT} symmetry enough *Bender - Contemp. Phys. 2005*

→ Non-Hermitian extension of the SM?

→ Alternative description of the Higgs sector?

Examples of \mathcal{PT} -symmetric field theories:

negative quartic interaction $-g\phi^4$ *Shalaby, Al-Thoyaib - PRD 2010*

imaginary cubic interaction $ig\phi^3$ *Bender, Branchina, Messina - PRD 2012 and 2013*

Liouville-style model $\exp(ig\phi)$ *Bender, Hook, Mavromatos, Sarkar - PRL 2014*

QED with mass term $\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi$ *Alexandre, Bender, Millington - JHEP 2015*

imaginary Yukawa interaction $h\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi$ *Korchin, Kovalchuk - PDR 2016*

lattice fermions *Chernodub - J. Phys. A 2017*

Free coupled scalars

Alexandre, Millington, Seynaeve - PRD 2017

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\nu \phi_1^* \partial^\nu \phi_1^* + \partial_\nu \phi_2^* \partial^\nu \phi_2 - (\phi_1^* \quad \phi_2^*) \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & \mu^2 \\ -\mu^2 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- non-Hermitian mass term \rightarrow complex Lagrangian density
- Mass matrix eigenvalues

$$M_\pm^2 = \frac{m_1^2 + m_2^2}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|m_1^2 - m_2^2|^2 - 4\mu^4}$$

\rightarrow real for $2\mu^2 \leq |m_1^2 - m_2^2|$

- Eigen vectors not perpendicular $e_1^* \cdot e_2 \neq 0$

Real energies for appropriate set of parameters

Discrete symmetries

- Parity and time reversal for $\Phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathcal{P} : \quad \Phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow \Phi'(t, -\mathbf{x}) = P \Phi(t, \mathbf{x})$$

$$\mathcal{T} : \quad \Phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow \Phi'(-t, \mathbf{x}) = T \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{x})$$

- \mathcal{PT} -symmetry realised for

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

→ ϕ_2 is a pseudo scalar

- Eigen vectors orthogonal with \mathcal{PT} -inner product
$$e_1^{\mathcal{PT}} \cdot e_2 = 0$$

Equations of motion

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \Phi^\dagger} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta \Phi^\dagger} \right)^\dagger = 0$$

OR

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \Phi} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta \Phi} \right)^\dagger = 0$$

Not identical $\mu^2 \rightarrow -\mu^2$

But related by \mathcal{PT} symmetry

Observables depend on μ^4

→ physics independent of the choice

Two sets of equations of motion related by \mathcal{PT} symmetry and physically equivalent

Conservation laws

Individual currents

$$j_1^\nu = i(\phi_1^* \partial^\nu \phi_1 - \phi_1 \partial^\nu \phi_1^*) \quad \text{and} \quad j_2^\nu = i(\phi_2^* \partial^\nu \phi_2 - \phi_2 \partial^\nu \phi_2^*)$$

- Lagrangian invariant under phase transformation $\Phi' = \exp(i\alpha\Phi)$
→ Noether current = $j_1^\nu + j_2^\nu$
- BUT conserved current = $j_1^\nu - j_2^\nu$ → phase transformation

$$\Phi' = \exp\left(i\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \Phi\right)$$

→ source/sink behaviour

The conserved current is not the Noether current

Gauged model

Two options *Alexandre, Ellis, Millington, Seynaeve - PRD 2019*

1. Gauge field coupled to conserved current $j_1^\nu - j_2^\nu$

$$\mathcal{L}' = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 + |D^{(+)}\phi_1|^2 + |D^{(-)}\phi_2|^2 - (\phi_1^* \quad \phi_2^*) \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & \mu^2 \\ -\mu^2 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $D_\nu^{(\pm)} = \partial_\nu \pm eA_\nu$

→ no gauge invariance

2. Gauge field coupled to Noether current $j_1^\nu + j_2^\nu$

$$\mathcal{L}' = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 + |D^{(+)}\phi_1|^2 + |D^{(+)}\phi_2|^2 - (\phi_1^* \quad \phi_2^*) \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & \mu^2 \\ -\mu^2 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

→ no consistent Maxwell equations $\partial_\rho \partial_\nu F^{\rho\nu} \neq 0$

Constrained gauge invariance

Solution: (i) couple A_μ to Noether current

(ii) add covariant gauge fixing term $-(\partial_\nu A^\nu)^2/2\xi$

→ Restriction to harmonic gauge functions $\square f = 0$

Gauge field equation of motion

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\xi} \square A^\nu = j_1^\nu + j_2^\nu$$

→ gauge fixing condition

$$\frac{1}{\xi} \square \partial_\nu A^\nu = \partial_\nu (j_1^\nu + j_2^\nu) = 2ie\mu^2 (\phi_2^* \phi_1 - \phi_1^* \phi_2)$$

→ gauge fixing at the classical level

Conclusion

Main message:

Consistent interpretation of non-Hermitian Lagrangian

- Path integral quantisation *Alexandre, Ellis, Millington, Seynaeve - PRD 2018*
- Abelian Higgs mechanism *Alexandre, Ellis, Millington, Seynaeve - PRD 2019*
- Non-Abelian version (work in progress)
- Extension to non-Hermitian SUSY (work in progress)

Appendix 1: Path integral

Alexandre, Ellis, Millington, Seynaeve - PRD 2018

- Define variables of integration $\Xi, \bar{\Xi}$ such that

$$\Phi^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \square + m_1^2 & \mu^2 \\ -\mu^2 & \square + m_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \Phi = \bar{\Xi} \begin{pmatrix} \square + M_+^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \square + M_-^2 \end{pmatrix} \Xi$$

→ Gaussian integrals well defined

- Introduce sources J, \bar{J} such that simultaneously

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \Xi} + \bar{J} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{\Xi}} + J = 0$$

→ no ambiguity in definition of saddle point

- Impose consistent \mathcal{PT} -symmetric properties for J, \bar{J}

→ partition function real: (i) depends on μ^4 only
(ii) background gauge field real

Appendix 2: P. Alternative approach

Mannheim - PRD 2018

Introduce a similarity transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 &\rightarrow -i\phi_1 & \text{and} & & \phi_1^* &\rightarrow i\phi_1^* \\ \phi_2 &\rightarrow -i\phi_2 & \text{and} & & \phi_2^* &\rightarrow -i\phi_2^*\end{aligned}$$

→ non-unitary transformation

Allows for Noether current to be conserved, but:

- (a) kinetic term for ϕ_2 changes sign
- (b) consistent solution of vev equations requires analytical continuation of real and imaginary parts

Appendix 3: Non-Hermitian fermion mass term

Original \mathcal{PT} -symmetric model *Bender, Jones, Rivers - PLB 2005*

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial} - m - \mu\gamma^5) \psi$$

- Dispersion relation $E^2 = \mathbf{p}^2 + m^2 - \mu^2$
- Conserved current and probability density *Alexandre, Bender - J. Phys. A 2015*

$$j^\nu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{m} \gamma^5 \right) \psi$$

$$\rho = \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{m} \right) |\psi_R|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{m} \right) |\psi_L|^2$$

→ consistent with lattice simulations *Chernodub - J. Phys. A 2017*

- Gauged version *Alexandre, Bender, Millington - JHEP 2015*